

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110158
Title of the Manuscript:	A statistical perspective on rainfall pattern and its impact on agriculture, economy, and tourism in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript analyzes the impact of rainfall patterns on economic aspects in India, using statistical methods. The findings can be useful for researchers and policymakers in agriculture, economics, and tourism.</p> <p>2. The existing title is fine. An alternative can be "Analyzing the Impact of Rainfall Patterns on Agriculture, Economy, and Tourism in India: A Statistical Approach."</p> <p>3. The abstract gives a concise summary of the manuscript's content, including the research question, methodology, key findings, and potential implications for policymakers and researchers.</p> <p>4. The manuscript is well-structured and organized, with clear headings and subsections that correspond to the research questions and objectives. It also includes comprehensive results, and discussion sections, which are standard components of a research paper.</p> <p>5. Yes, conducting a more comprehensive and thorough statistical analysis would be highly beneficial.</p> <p>6. Seems sufficient!</p> <p>Comments: Adding a section on study limitations is crucial. It helps in identifying constraints and factors that could have impacted the research outcomes. It ensures a comprehensive and transparent investigation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes!</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>As a peer reviewer, I have carefully examined the manuscript and would like to provide my feedback on its strengths and areas for improvement.</p> <p>Strengths:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript includes a comprehensive literature review that provides a clear overview of the research question and objectives. - The methodology section is well-structured and includes detailed information on the statistical tests used to analyze the data. - The results section presents the findings in a clear and concise manner, with appropriate tables and figures to support the arguments. - The manuscript provides valuable insights into the impact of rainfall patterns on various economic aspects in India, which can be useful for policymakers and researchers. <p>Areas for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion section that provides a deeper analysis of the findings and their implications. - The authors could consider including a section on the limitations of the study and suggestions for future research. - The manuscript could benefit from a more concise and clear writing style, with fewer technical terms and jargon. <p>Overall, I believe that the manuscript is well-researched and provides valuable insights into the impact of rainfall patterns on agriculture, economy, and tourism in India. However, there are some areas for improvement that the authors could consider to enhance the manuscript's clarity and impact.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Kadir
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh