

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109537</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review of Post-Harvest Management and Value Addition of Horticultural Crops: A Source of Income Generation for the Farmers of Bundalkhand</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The article is useful for the development policy practitioner in small county such as Bundalkhand. Narration and facts are well written for policy planning development in Agriculture sector.</p> <p>2. Yes it is suitable.</p> <p>3. Method should be included in the abstract. At least, location of study, and references used. Scales of agribusiness in areas being under this concern of study and so on.</p> <p>4. Structure and subsection are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Manuscript should describe how many references available and used in short method.</p> <p>6. References used are too old and needed to revise with the new one, at least less than seven years.</p> <p>In introduction, picture of the agribusiness in Bundalkhand shall be highlighted. Explaining why the Author shall critically discusses that discourse. Methods and approached and parameters applied shall be explained.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language is suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Minor revision with written revision for the Author.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Deny Iyai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Papua University, Indonesia</b>