

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_109989
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of aflatoxin B1 contamination in animal feeds and aflatoxin M1 occurrence in fresh milk: A case of Kondoa District in Dodoma, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. The AFB1 contamination can cause health hazards to animals as well as humans. In order to suggest the safety status of animal feed, it is important to detect the AFB1 in animal feed.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is suitable.</li> <li>3. Yes</li> <li>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. The recent references are added to the article. However, there is a need to add more references to the article.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The review of the literature can be enlarged to get deeper insights.</li> <li>2. The characteristics of 120 dairy farmers can added in an aggregate way.</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Napinder Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mittal School of Business, Lovely Professional University, India</b>