

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_110082
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, CHARACTERISATION AND CORROSION INHIBITION EVALUATION OF 1, 3, 5-TRIMETHOYMETHYLBENZENE FROM SEEDS EXTRACT OF Cola nitida ON MILD STEEL SURFACE IN HYHROCHLORIC ACID SOLUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- I think that this study is very good and included a study of an extract of a well-known plant, as an inhibitor of steel corrosion by using good, low-cost materials, and using very good methods and equipment.</p> <p><b>2 – yes , its suitable</b></p> <p>3- The summary needs to add more details about the results obtained from the research.</p> <p><b>4- Yes, it is acceptable. But there is nothing wrong with it if the researcher can organize the research in a more beautiful way</b></p> <p>5- I think that the researcher made a great effort and the results are logical</p> <p><b>6- Many of the references mentioned are rather old. It would have been better to use references that are more modern.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	I believe that the language and writing style used are understandable and readable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I added some comments to the attached file. I hope the researcher will work on improving it	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohanad Kadhem Alshujery</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>