

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110393
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Quality evaluation of edible sachet prepared with Potato starch for spice mix
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is written good but abstract need some statistical data that should be included to show the results to help the reader to save time.</p> <p>2. The title is good but can be modified to look more attractive to reflect the scientific work done.</p> <p>3. The abstract is not comprehensive and need amendments to include some results in it to show the scientific work done. Methodology and results should be included in abstract according to the format to make it more comprehensive</p> <p>4. Yes. The structure of the manuscript is good.</p> <p>5. Yes. The manuscript is overall satisfactory.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient but some new would be added if possible instead of old ones.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Minor	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is overall good enough to be published with some minor revisions in abstract and methodology and should include some latest references if available.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafiq
Department, University & Country	Chinese Academy of Sciences, China