

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110393
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Quality evaluation of edible sachet prepared with Potato starch for spice mix
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The paper called "Development and Quality evaluation of edible sachet prepared with Potato starch for spice mix" is a bit messy, for example in materials and methods the authors should organize it better: Previous - Peroxide Index (PI) of Potato Starch Film Later - Statistical Analysis of Potato Starch Film Rewrite the order of appearance in discussion regarding materials and methods. Acronyms appear in the tables that we do not know what they mean (TrSS, etc.). The method of preparing the films is not clear, rewrite in detail. Describe in text figures 1, 2 and 3, table 1, and so on. The equations must be written in equation editor format with their corresponding description. In short, the authors must read and correct the paper, organizing it properly, since it becomes very difficult to read.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Review	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martin Masuelli
Department, University & Country	UNSL, Argentina