

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_109558</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATING THE COMPETENCIES OF BUILT ENVIRONMENT CONSULTANTS IN CONTRIBUTING TO SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION IN GHANA</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>The manuscript is relevant.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Inadequate.</b></p> <p><b>Needs further study.</b></p> <p><b>Further references are needed.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Yes.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Literature review details on the choice of questionnaire survey data are not adequate.</li> <li>Questionnaire survey data points reflect more on the management side of a consultant rather than on assessing technical competencies on planning and design, construction and maintenance of a project from the perspective of sustainable construction.</li> <li>Key Stakeholder of a project i.e.. Contractor who executes a project is not considered in the study.</li> <li>Manuscript should discuss methodology of the study i..e.. methodology of analysis, steps in the analysis, factors considered, SPSS output of the analysis should be presented.</li> <li>Relationship between each factor considered shall be discussed by a correlation analysis using methods such as Spearman Rank Correlation.</li> <li>Author may carryout Factor analysis for a more meaningful interpretation of qualitative analysis.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chandrasekaran Balaji Venkateswaran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anna University, India</b>