

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109906
Title of the Manuscript:	Job Competence of Agricultural Extension Officers in Nalgonda district of Telangana state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the scientific community may benefit from the work as it brings up issues of competence into the agricultural support space. Competency is closely associated with service delivery and hence the work draws the attention of scholars and policy makers to this important connection</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is relevant to the content</p> <p>3. Abstract needs to be improved as it is not concise and does not give the context and overview of the overall findings due to lack of study purpose, conclusions drawn based on the study findings and recommendation(s). The sentence construction errors in the abstract also undermine its clarity</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. The reviewer has no issues with the subsections and structure of the manuscript</p> <p>6. The reference may need improvement. Adding a few current sources would improve the manuscript. The latest reference in the work is 2020.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are issues with language and English quality in the manuscript which impeded communication most of the time. It is strongly recommended that the manuscript is subjected to professional proof reading and language editing to improve its readability and quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Detailed comments are given in the manuscript. Among the important comments include language editing and proof reading of the work. The error frequency in the manuscript is just too high, and there is lack of evidence that this work was proof read to try eliminate errors. There are some very disturbing errors where some sentences start with small letters, which I believe should have been easily picked by the authors and addressed before the manuscript is handed over for review. Authors should also follow proper writing conventions and capitalize where they should. In text referencing should also be done appropriately without adding author's initials in the text as expected</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sipho Felix Mamba
Department, University & Country	University of Eswatini, Eswatini