

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109906
Title of the Manuscript:	Job Competence of Agricultural Extension Officers in Nalgonda district of Telangana state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is good but this correlation study may be a little shallow. The discussion is complete though. It could have been better if the study focuses on how independent variables (predictors) influence the dependent variable (the one that is being predicted) so regression analysis may be employed.</p> <p>The title should reflect all the variables measured in study aside from job competence.</p> <p>Yes, and is complete.</p> <p>Yes, coherent discussion was observed.</p> <p>Yes, but instead of simple correlation, regression analysis should have been employed.</p> <p>Beef up literature review. Use studies over the last 5 years.</p> <p>The correlation table should reflect the probability values (sig. values) aside from the correlation coefficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but it still recommended to have the paper undergo grammar-checked, proof reading, and stylistics and editing.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines