

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_108903</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Marriage Breakdown Among Young Couples in the Ghanaian Context</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This article is not strong enough to become new knowledge for the scientific community. The substance of the article has become a general understanding of the breakdown in relationships between husband and wife in the family.</li> <li>The title of the article is suitable with the content. The title is more directed towards psychology.</li> <li>The abstract of the article is comprehensive but there is no novelty of the finding from empirical research.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the manuscripts is appropriate with the content and literature review.</li> <li>The manuscript is not correct scientifically because there is nothing new in the discussion of the material in this article and the existing findings could have been predicted previously</li> <li>The most recent reference is in 2020, need to be added (2022-2023) to strengthen the discussion analysis</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	English quality of the article still can be improve better	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Berta Beki Retnawati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Soegijapranata Catholic University, Indonesia</b>