

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_108903
Title of the Manuscript:	Marriage Breakdown Among Young Couples in the Ghanaian Context
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Researching the factors contributing to breakdown of marriage is very important for the scientific community. It is extremely important to understand the causes and correlates of divorce or to provide valuable insights into societal trends, individual behaviour, and the well-being of families and children. Hence, this is a topic of great importance.</p> <p>2- Since the article is about the factors of marriage breakdown, it might be good to include the term "factors" in the title. For example- " Factors that contribute to marriage breakdown in ...".</p> <p>3- The abstract is good</p> <p>4- The subsections are appropriate. But suggest to include limitations as a subheading. Also since the author has introduced theories at the beginning, it is important to use it to justify the findings in the discussion part.</p> <p>5- yes</p> <p>6- they are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- The script is easy to read, and has a good flow</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall the manuscript is good, except the few changes mentioned above.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aishath Shanoora
Department, University & Country	Maldives National University, Maldives