

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JESBS_110404</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Social Adjustment Patterns of Tribal Students: Exploring Family Dynamics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript is quite appealing and interesting as well.	
<b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable but it needs structural and phrasal incorporation such as use of punctuations like colon, semi colon, parenthesis, brackets etc. are not appreciated in the title of thesis or articles/manuscript etc. Therefore, the title of the manuscript may be:  <b>"Exploring Family Dynamics Regarding the Social Adjustment Pattern of Tribal Students"</b>	
<b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	The abstract of the article is comprehensive consisting of 218 words falling in normal range of 250-300 words as per research rules and regulations but the abstract needs proof reading and further improvement as well such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In ABSTRACT portion, present tense has been used while abstract is always in past tense. Therefore, incorporate it.</li> <li>2. Irrelevant materials such as demographic information (gender, family type, number of siblings, father's education, and occupation) have been mentioned in abstract while the most important items/materials like population, target population, sample and sampling techniques, sample size, sampling techniques, research instrument, objectives of the study, limitations and delimitation of the study, data analysis, results, conclusions and recommendations of the study are all missing in the abstract that are the backbones of an ABSTRACT portion. Therefore, incorporate and rectify it.</li> <li>3. Keywords need further grammatical, structural, and phrasal correction to ensure quality and sequence by keeping in view the title of the manuscript. The keywords of the manuscript may be: "Tribal Students, Secondary Schools, Social Adjustment, Family Dynamics, Bengal"</li> </ol>	
<b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Most of the essential and important sub-sections are missing in the manuscript. The authors follow the following sequence in METHODOLOGY portion: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.1 Design of the Study</li> <li>3.2 Population of the Study <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.2.1 Target Population of the Study</li> </ol> </li> <li>3.3 Sample of the Study <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.3.1 Sample Size of the Study</li> <li>3.3.2 Sampling Techniques</li> </ol> </li> <li>3.4 Research Instrument <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.5 Pilot Testing <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3.5.1 Validity of the Research Instrument</li> <li>3.5.2 Reliability of the Research Instrument</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> <li>3.6 Data Analysis</li> </ol> <p>Furthermore, objectives of the study, hypothesis of the study, Limitations of the study, Delimitations of the Study are also found missing. Hypothesis of the study must match the objectives of the study</p>	

## Review Form 1.7

	by keeping in view the title of the manuscript.	
<b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and interesting.	
<b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient but most of the references are old and out-dated. The authors may beautify and ensure the quality of the manuscript by replacing all out-dated citations, literature, and references with the latest and updated ones. Furthermore, the references are not according to the latest APA style/format and some of the references are incomplete too. Therefore, rectify it.	
<b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The capture form of the tables needs to be further incorporated and rectification.</li> <li>2. Graphs may also be added the end of each table.</li> <li>3. Separate the results from the discussion with separate headings. Results usually included tables and its interpretation along with graphs whereas discussion reveals the current study by comparing it with previous studies.</li> <li>4. Cross reference citation may be ensured.</li> <li>5. Population of the study may be shown properly in tabulation form along with sources at the end of its table.</li> <li>6. The language used in the manuscript may be further polished by removing and incorporating and rectifying all grammatical, structural, phrasal, and punctual mistakes in the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, the language/quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication but merely needs its proof reading and grammatical correction at all angles while the concept shown in the manuscript is praising and appreciated.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript/article is recommended for publication in the journal concerned after ensuring and rectifying all the suggested and advised suggestions/observations that needs to be corrected and rectified so that the article may be polished for the readers, scholars, journals, researchers and others etc.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mati Ullah</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Lakki Marwat, Pakistan</b>