

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109421
Title of the Manuscript:	Yield, Nutritional and Heavy Metal Bioaccumulation Responses of Cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i>) to Circular Economy-Based Innovations on a Mining-Degraded Landscape
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This research is quite important for the development of scientific knowledge 2. Appropriate 3. Needs improvement according to the notes given 4. Already appropriate but needs improvement 5. From the research data presented, it is scientifically appropriate 6. Most of the references are up-to-date but need to be added according to the notes provided. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I think it is good enough</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the abstract, the quantitative results of the research parameters have not been described, you should write down how many values were obtained from the treatment variations. 2. I have not found a concrete explanation of the results related to the objectives of this research. 3. Add the coordinates of this research location. The map of the research location needs to be redesigned, using a mapping application, so that all information in the research location can be seen clearly, with a minimum resolution of 300 dpi. 4. It is best to be consistent in using the citation model, following the journal's citation instructions. 5. Briefly describe the details of the atomic absorption spectrophotometer you used in this study. 6. You should add a comparative study of this research with relevant research, so that the strengths of this research can be seen. 7. You should add the values obtained according to the research objectives. 8. Adjust to the journal format, font type and size, and paragraphs. There are some references that are not found in the excerpt 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adewirli Putra
Department, University & Country	Syedza Saintika College of Health Sciences, Indonesia