

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110251
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“Studies on performance of vegetative &amp; floral parameters of different varieties under polyhouse condition”</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript is found to be important for the scientific community because it addresses the scientific evaluation aspects of Roses for commercial aspects under polyhouse conditions. This finding has proven to be novel for publication in your journal.</li> <li>2. This article title has incomplete information about the content. Therefore, the title should be changed to " <b>Varietal differences in vegetative and floral traits of roses (Rosa spp.) under polyhouse conditions.</b>"</li> <li>3. This article abstract should be elaborated with a few lines of brief introduction about the importance of roses as well as cultivation in polyhouse conditions and also about the importance of your findings.</li> <li>4. The introduction part should be revised since it lacks flow of information and elaborates on incorporating recent information on the production scenario of roses in India, botanical description, varieties, challenges of rose production, and importance review of recent publications that are similar to your work.</li> <li>5. This article is scientifically correct, but minor revisions are needed about the data analysis and treatments.</li> <li>6. The article can be incorporated with many findings for the introduction and discussion parts about these concepts, along with a citation of references.</li> </ol> <p><b>Suggestions</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Table-1 data should be analysed with ANOVA stat. and show the significant varietal differences.</li> <li>2. Use varieties (var.) instead of treatment so and so.</li> <li>3. Provide the scientific name for Rose in the first line of the manuscript.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript was written technically as well as grammatically, which will meet your journal standard.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article can be accepted after thorough revision only</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ravi Kumara R</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>