

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_110022
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF AGRONOMIC PRACTICES FOLLOWED IN FATEHGARH SAHIB RUPNAGAR DISTRICT OF PUNJAB,INDIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the author needs to go over the manuscript and make necessary corrections.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The abstract needs to be adjusted and the words are more than 250 words (It should be between 200-250 words for the abstract).</p> <p>It's ok, but corrections need to be made. (the corrected words or sentences are highlighted with yellow colour).</p> <p>Scientifically, it's ok. Just for the author to make necessary corrections.</p> <p>They are recent, but the references needed to be well justified, arranged and done in an acceptable fonts size and spacing.</p> <p>It's a good write-up. There is need for thorough corrections on the abstract, part of the body of the manuscript, tables and conclusion (The conclusion should not be of many words or sentences, it should be brief, short and concise)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The botanical names should also be checked and italicized, some of them clustered together without space in between.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ayanrinde Festus Ayanwole
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria