

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_109347
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNATIONAL INVOLVEMENT IN SOVEREIGN STATES: THE ROLE OF THE AU IN THE PRESERVATION OF PEACE AND SECURITY IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: THE CASE OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The topic and issues discussed in the research are very important for the scientific community. The primary goal of this research was to learn what the African Union (AU) is doing in connection to the peace and security situation in Cameroon in light of the above context.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title of the article is suitable for the abstract, methodology, result, and conclusion.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract is very comprehensive and it is very informative can invites the interest of the reader.</p> <p>4. Yes. All subsections and structures of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes. The manuscript was scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient. The standard minimum of reference is at least 20.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. The quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but there are minor corrections like usage of subject-verb agreement, which must be correct spelling, wrong verb form, and correct usage of articles and prepositions in the sentence.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the above research was highly recommended to be published.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sambra I. Tuya
Department, University & Country	National High School, Notre Dame of Dadiangas University, Philippines