

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_109347
Title of the Manuscript:	INTERNATIONAL INVOLVEMENT IN SOVEREIGN STATES: THE ROLE OF THE AU IN THE PRESERVATION OF PEACE AND SECURITY IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: THE CASE OF CAMEROON
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript titled "INTERNATIONAL INVOLVEMENT IN SOVEREIGN STATES: THE ROLE OF THE AU IN THE PRESERVATION OF PEACE AND SECURITY IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA: THE CASE OF CAMEROON" is very important and efficiently covers the peace and security situation in CAMEROON.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript is suitable and covers exactly what is planned to be explained in the study.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and replicates the study purpose.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and meet the requirements of the manuscript.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references in the manuscript are recent and the author or authors utilized best efforts to collect up-to-dated and relevant literature and information.</p> <p>7. Additional comments: The researcher or researchers are appreciated for working on this very important issue, they also forwarded good suggestions based on their study. They can be encouraged by processing this manuscript for publication.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The quality and grammar of the English language is correct and sound. The article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Just a minor tweak: I will suggest to the researcher/researchers to elaborate a little bit the research methodology and explain the research tools, universe and methods.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habib Ur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Pakistan