

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_110167
Title of the Manuscript:	Satisfaction with Duration of Orthodontic Treatment, Knowledge and Perception of Accelerated Orthodontics by Nigerian Dental Practitioners
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, manuscript highlights the awareness and knowledge on accelerated orthodontic treatment. Title should be modified and must include the term questionnaire/cross sectional survey study. Yes, abstract is comprehensive. It should be more precise and shortened to be understandable and clear. Yes Yes, scientifically correct but needs major revision, English should be improved References are sufficient from past 10 years Additional comments/suggestions are provided as separate file 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>A major review of the English must be performed by a native speaker to significantly improve the comprehension and quality of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Title</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript title provided is very generic, please rephrase the title. <p>Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide appropriate literature/ reference for 'six month smile' as this is a contradictory statement and it is just a concept(reference 15). Actual orthodontic tooth movement can take more or even less time for selected teeth, which is also associated with complications(as mentioned in ref 15) Please justify or rephrase the sentence. <p>Methodology</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Study setting- "Survey was carried out where dentists work" Sampling- "involving Nigerian dentists such as the Consultants' and Resident doctors' Please specify who all are involved in the questionnaire 'General Dentist' or 'Orthodontist' or any other 'specialist/consultant' <p>Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the 2nd paragraph- A high proportion (27.2%) of participants in this present Nigerian study, which is only next to those were somewhat satisfied, expressed neutrality about the duration of orthodontic treatment. Please explain In 5th paragraph- term 'their children' is used, how is this related to dental practitioners. Please explain if required it should be removed or replaced throughout the manuscript with 'their patients' In 7th paragraph—"it must be mentioned that the authors would have preferred a larger sample size" why is this statement used? Which is followed by another statement- "the 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>current sample size is quite representative, which is very crucial in this study because dental practitioners from different parts of the country were assessed." Please justify or modify this.</p> <p>Conclusion 7. Sentences are too long and need to be shortened to be understandable and clear.</p> <p>Overall The manuscript must be improved according to the above-mentioned comments. A major review of the English must be performed by a native speaker to significantly improve the comprehension and quality of the manuscript. The final recommendation is: consider after Major Changes.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhruv Ahuja
Department, University & Country	India