

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109444
Title of the Manuscript:	NEHEMIAH'S LEADERSHIP AS A PASTORAL MODEL IN THE ERA OF DISRUPTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Based on the topic of the manuscript, which is Nehemiah's leadership as a pastoral model in the era of disruption. The document discusses the relevance of Nehemiah's leadership in the context of technology and societal changes in worship and spirituality, as well as the challenges faced by the church in the era of Digitalization and Industry 4.0. While the document may be relevant and valuable for individuals interested in Christian leadership and the impact of technological advancements on worship, it is not clear if it has broader significance or impact within the scientific community. 2. Suggested Articles titles; "Rebuilding Broken Walls: Nehemiah's Leadership as a Pastoral Model for Navigating Disruption" "Shepherding in Turbulent Times: Unpacking Nehemiah's Pastoral Leadership Amidst Disruption" "A Blueprint for Pastoral Resilience: Drawing Lessons from Nehemiah's Leadership in an Era of Disruption" "Faithful Stewardship in the Face of Chaos: Examining Nehemiah's Pastoral Leadership as a Model for Contemporary Challenges" "Leading with Purpose: Nehemiah's Pastoral Approach as a Guide for Ministers in Disruptive Times" 3. The document provides a comprehensive summary of the main topics and findings. It discusses Nehemiah's leadership as a pastoral model in the era of disruption, specifically focusing on the impact of technology and societal changes on worship and spirituality. 4. Based on the provided document snippets, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appear to be appropriate. The document starts with an abstract that provides a brief overview of the research topic, objectives, methodology, and main findings. It then proceeds with an introduction that sets the context for the study and introduces Nehemiah's leadership as a pastoral model in the era of disruption. 5. Based on the provided document snippets, it cannot be determined whether the manuscript is scientifically correct. The document appears to be a research study that utilizes qualitative methods, including observation, interviews, and document analysis, to explore Nehemiah's leadership at GPdI Vila Melati Mas and its relevance as a model for Christian leadership in the era of disruption. The study analyzes the challenges faced by the church in the era of digitalization and Industry 4.0, and emphasizes the importance of self-awareness, agility, collaboration, development, and acumen in facing these challenges. The document also provides a literature review on leadership, Christian leadership, and the leadership of Nehemiah, outlining the research methodology used for data collection and analysis. 6. The document provides sufficient references that are recent. These references cover a range of topics including research methodology, challenges of the Industrial Revolution 4.0, and leadership in the context of Nehemiah and GPdI Vila Melati Mas. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments	Minor Revision	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, based on the document snippets provided, the language and English quality of the article seem suitable for scholarly communications. The document follows a typical structure of a scholarly article, including an abstract, keywords, introduction, literature review, research methodology, results and discussion sections. The language used is clear and concise, presenting the research findings and discussing relevant literature. The document also includes proper citations and references to support the claims and provide additional resources for further reading.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Younus
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah, Indonesia