

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_109759
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case of Appendiceal Intussusception Secondary to Low-Grade Mucinous Neoplasm: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The incidence of appendiceal intussusception is 0.01. It means, there will be 1 case averagely out of 10000 cases of appendicitis. Hence the surgeon should keep this condition in mind, this is a potential message for clinical practice.</p> <p>Yes, the title of article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsection and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It is original and there are clinical implications of this manuscript.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kanwar Singh Goel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SGT Medical College, SGT University, India</b>