

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_108460
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF FATIGUE: A NARRATIVE REVIEW
Type of the Article	Narrative Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. In previous literature, the main focus was on investigating fatigue as a disease symptom. However, this article briefly discussed the nature of fatigue and its effect on the individual's bio-social-psychological perspectives.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are sufficient as per journal guidelines but few articles mentioned are not recent.</p> <p>In the manuscript, one line is repeated and the intext citation was not according to the journal guidelines.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunidhi
Department, University & Country	Prem Institute of Medical Sciences, India