

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_109575
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical properties of sweet potato chips ( <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript dealing with physicochemical and sensory analysis of sweet potato chips. The authors compare 4 seasoning formulations based their properties.</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. yes</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. The manuscript contain some not standard symbol for units: Temperature should be in unit °C or °C every where; active acidity should be given like pH, energy kcal/100g etc.</p> <p>Formulas must be written in equation editor and numbered all of them.</p> <p>Please write the shape of the chips more exactly. What you mean on this part of the sentence? "which uniform longitudinal cuts of ±2cm in thickness were made"</p> <p>The paragraph at the top of the 8<sup>th</sup> page contain a very long and not understandable sentence. Please try to write again this part...</p> <p>6. References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are some grammatical (long and not understandable sentences for e. g. at part "Ashes" or "Flavour") and spelling mistakes which should be corrected</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is suitable to publish in this journal just after the corrections of these mistakes above.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Gabor Zsivanovits</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Food Preservation and Quality, Agricultural Academy of Bulgaria, Bulgaria</b>