

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_108541
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND PRODUCTION OF AN EPC CORE NETWORK SIZING TOOL - THE CASE OF ORANGE-GUINEA
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript deals with important issues in networking that enable the sizing of networks with the increasing number of users to preserve the quality of service and Bandwidth.</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript seems suitable for the content.</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to be shortened to focus on the important issue without the long introduction. Also, it would be more convenient to add the aim and objective directly without separating them as a second paragraph.</p> <p>4. The subsections are appropriately titled, although reducing the number of subsections is recommended when possible.</p> <p>5. The results provided in the manuscript show no scientific problem.</p> <p>6. Adding more recent references is required, and it is not recommended to use webpages as a reference for scientific papers.</p> <p>7. Figures marked as (a, b, c, ...etc.) should have a caption in addition to the title of the main figure.</p> <p>8. The figures captured for the GUI windows are written in a non-English language, which will make it hard to understand its content.</p> <p>9. Some of the important shortens are never mentioned such as (EPC, and EPS).</p> <p>10. In the introduction add some paragraphs that explain the work of the EPC core network.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English language needs to be improved. Also, the paper requires Grammarly checking.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasmine M. Tabra
Department, University & Country	Al Nahrain University, College of Information Engineering, Iraq