

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_109169</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Differential Diagnosis of Splenic Focal Lesions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. As already suggested and recommended by the author, it requires further discussion and research to be done among various professionals.</p> <p>The title is suitable for this article.</p> <p>The author has well presented the abstract. Each key item including the background, methods, objective and conclusion are presented comprehensively.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The author has presented the article into different subsections differentiating the content in comprehensible form.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language/ English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are minor modifications that need to be made by the author. Kindly review the comment session mentioned in the article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In addition to above comments, the additional comment that reviewer want to suggest are, the author can include the inclusive criteria too though exclusive criteria is mentioned. Besides, a brief description consisting of fewer sentences can be included under history, general, abdominal Ultrasound examination, etc.. and all. Overall the presentation of the ideas under different sessions are good.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nima Zangmo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jigme Dorji Wangchuk National Referral Hospital, Bhutan</b>