

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_109169
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Differential Diagnosis of Splenic Focal Lesions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No// if it possible to make the title clearer as the word "Role" and "Differential Diagnosis" not suitable here did the authors want to differentiate being from malignant splenic lesions?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>To some extent</p> <p>Need modification</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>In patient and methods: can the authors write 1- the duration of the study. 2- inclusion criteria "Patients with splenic focal lesions "how the splenic focal lesion detected 1st? by US or other modality? What was the clinical complain of the patients ? 3- why did you include the splenic cyst ? I think US is sufficient to dingoes simple splenic cyst. Splenic infarction and calcification aslso 4- in case with multiple splenic focal lesions how did you deal? exame each lesion by itself? 5- MRI MRI sequences: need to be write more clear. IN DWI write b value you used, how you measure the ADC, in cystic lesion what did you do? ROI where to be selected. T1/T2 did the use sequence axial, coronal or both? 6-Type of MRI machine, dose of contrast media used? The most suitable and morally acceptable SOR was utilised for each kind of lesion. What do you mean by SOR? 7-Who read the MRI? 8-The standard of reference for lesion characterisation did you used it according to any reference? Please write the reference. 9- what the criteria the author jaded the lesion as a benign and recommend close follow-up with contrast-enhanced MRI or triphasic CT imaging after few months. 10- Result section need to rewrite and the number of each pathology 11- in discussion Regarding Gadoteric acid-enhanced images of the studied group who undergo triphasic MRI, what do you mean by Gadoteric acid-enhanced images? - As far as we are aware, contrast enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), the authors didn't use it in this study 12- All the used images: write simple history of the patient complain. In figure 2 the diagnosis gandy gamma bodies and it didn't mention above in result section in the diagnosis 13- if it possible more images needed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments Major Revision</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwa Romeih
Department, University & Country	Helwan University, Egypt