

Effect of Inorganic Nutrients and Biofertilizers on Growth, Yield and Soil Properties of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in N-W Region of Punjab

Abstract

The field experiment on “Effect of inorganic nutrients and biofertilizers on growth and yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in N-W region of Punjab” was carried out at the research farm of Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, Bathinda (Punjab) during kharif season 2022. The experiment comprising total 8 treatment combinations; viz., T₀-control, T₁-(100 percent RDF), T₂-NP (150 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha), T₃-NP (100 kg per ha; 40 kg per ha) + Azospirillum, T₄-NPK (140 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha), T₅-NPK (110 kg per ha; 40 kg per ha; 30 kg per ha) + Azotobacter, T₆-NPK+Zn (150 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha) + 50 kg per ha, T₇-NPK+S+S+Zn (160 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha) + 50 kg per ha + 30 kg per ha, T₈-NPK (110 kg per ha; 50 kg per ha; 50 kg per ha) + Azotobacter + Azospirillum. Experiment was carried out in randomized block design with three replications. Results revealed that growth, yield and economics of Maize influenced significantly due to application of nitrogen practices. The highest grain yield of 59.70 quintal per ha and stover yield 93.4 quintal per ha was recorded with combined application of NPK + S + Zn (160 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha) + 50 kg per ha + 30 kg per ha (T₇).

Keywords: *Inorganic, Biofertilizers, Growth, Yield and Maize*

Introduction

Among the species of cultivated plants, maize (*Zea mays* L.) has been a fantastic source of plant genetic material for both basic and applied genetic research. It is the most productive and produced cereal in the world. It holds a dominant position as a tradable good in the global economy (Edmeades *et al*, 2017). There are several uses for it, but principally for food, feed, and as a raw material for industry. In India, after rice and wheat, maize is the third most popular food grain. But over the past ten years, maize production and productivity have grown at a pace of 4.2 percent annually in our nation, the most among all food grains, including rice and wheat (Erenstein *et al*, 2022). One of the most significant cereal crops is maize (*Zea mays* L.), which is ranked third globally and second in India among all cereal crops. Because of its greater adaptability, it can be cultivated twice a year, once in the early and once in the late seasons. It also goes by the name "Queen of Cereals" (Behera *et al*, 2019). The control of nutrients has a significant impact on the production of any crop, including corn. 72% carbohydrate, 10% protein, 3.5% fibre, and 1.7% ash are all present in maize (Gao *et al*, 2020).

India produces 14.36 million tons of maize annually, covering 67.49 million acres, placing it fifth in the world in terms of area

and seventh in terms of overall production (Poole *et al.*, 2021). Indian conditions require increased output; hence attempts are being made to develop high-yielding, fertilizer-responsive, and non-lodging cultivars (Erenstein *et al.*, 2022). This led to the widespread usage of expensive inorganic nitrogenous fertilizers, which also contribute to water and air pollution, in the process of replenishing soil nutrients. Only 50% of the total nitrogenous fertilizers used are absorbed by the plants, with the remaining 50% being lost through leaching, denitrification and volatilization (Anas *et al.*, 2020). Despite our best efforts to boost the production of fertilizers through industrialization, our nation has not been able to meet the need for fertilizers, and the supply-demand imbalance is widening. In order to acquire fertilizers from industrialized nations, we are needed to make significant foreign exchange investments due to the rising demand (Dilshad *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, the government's strategy of cutting fertilizer subsidies has made things worse for farmers; therefore it is urgent that a technology aimed at reducing the consumption of pricey inorganic fertilizers be developed (Edmeades *et al.*, 2017).

A ground-breaking innovation in the field of research includes using hormones to induce nodulation on grain roots and N-fixing microorganisms to facilitate nitrogen fixation.

Positive effects on the formation of nodule-like structures in cereals have been attributed to the addition of the biofertilizer. Additionally, numerous crops have reported benefiting from the associative diazotroph *Azospirillum* (Bennett *et al.*, 2020 and Rosenblueth *et al.*, 2018). By boosting root biomass, increasing its ability to fix nitrogen, or doing both, the bacterium contributes to higher yields of crops, particularly grains. According to reports, *Azospirillum brasilense* has improved nitrogenase activity as well as other physiological processes, which has led to a higher maize yield (Dent and Cocking, 2017).

The majority of nitrogen fixers that are free-living are *Azotobacter*, *Beijerinckia*, *Azospirillum*, *Herbaspirillum*, *Gluconacetobacter*, *Burkholderia*, *Clostridium*, *Paenibacillus* and *Methanosarcina* which have demonstrated great efficacy in cereal crops (such as growth and grain yield of cereals) (Ladha *et al.*, 2016; Ritika and Utpal, 2014). Additionally, the prevalence of *Azotobacter* species in the soil may increase the availability of P as well as N through the BNF processes (Din *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, Kizilkaya (2009) showed that soil carbon and sulphur levels increased in response to inoculation with *Azotobacter* species by speeding up the mineralization of soil organic wastes, which subsequently decreased the absorption of heavy metals by roots.

In many nations, it has been heavily utilized as a production technology, resulting in a 20–29 per cent increase in yield (Ryu *et al.*, 2020). The sources of organic fertilizer maintain healthy soil aeration by enhancing soil structure and give plants nourishment without leaving behind any chemical residue. However, organic fertilizers are expensive, slow to release, and they lower crop yields in general. Manure is an organic fertilizer source that, in contrast to chemical fertilizers, has a lasting impact on subsequent crops and reduces nutrient loss through evaporation, soil erosion, and leaching. Manure nutrients release to plants very gradually (Das and Das, 2004 & Singh *et al.*, 2018). When compared to organic fertilizer sources, inorganic fertilizer sources are more affordable, release sooner, and require much less. However, they harm the soil, pollute the air and water, and

pose a major threat to human health.

Agro-ecosystem sustainability requires the combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizer sources (Rao *et al*, 2002). Higher grain production is obtained when recommended fertilizer doses are combined with farmyard waste. It is advised to apply NPK 20:0:10: at 450kg per hectare and poultry manure at 30 tons per hectare to increase the grain production of maize. Use of cow dung and poultry manure can accelerate the growth of maize's roots.

Vermicompost used in conjunction with inorganic fertilizer sources can increase maize quality and yield while also enhancing the soil's (physical, chemical, and biological) qualities. It can also satisfy the crop's nutrient needs during the entire growth cycle. The growth and yield of maize will be improved by combining sources of organic and inorganic fertilizer.

The essential component for achieving a good crop production is phosphoric fertilizer. The developing and storing organs, like fruits and vegetables, are phosphorus-rich. By aiding in the translocation of carbohydrates, it encourages strong root growth and fruit ripening. There are also reports of a higher glucose concentration. It is a crucial component of the plasma membrane, nucleic acids, many coenzymes, and organic molecules including ATP and other phospho-related compounds. It is crucial for oxidation reduction processes and energy transfer reactions. Within plants, phosphate molecules function as "Energy current". Photosynthesis and the metabolism of carbohydrates produce energy that is used for the development and reproduction processes. Consequently, phosphorus is a crucial component to improve output (Ryu *et al*, 2020).

Objectives:

1. To study the effects of inorganic nutrients and bio fertilizers on growth and yield of Maize.
2. To study the effects of inorganic nutrients and bio fertilizers on Physico-chemical properties of soil.
3. To study the effects of inorganic nutrients and bio fertilizers on economics of Maize Crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental site and Experimental details

Geographically the experimental site of research farm of Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo, (Bathinda) during *Kharif* 2021. The farm is located at 29°57'N latitude and 75°07'E longitude at an elevation of about 213 meters above mean sea level in North-Western region of Punjab. This tract is characterized by semi-humid climate where both winters and summers are extreme. A maximum temperature about 45^o - 48^o is not uncommon in during summer. While freezing temperature accompanied by frost occurrence may be witnessed of December and January. The monsoon generally starts in first week of July. The accurate weather report of Talwandi Sabo (Bathinda) from September to March is given below.

The experiment comprising total 8 treatment combinations; *viz.*: T₀-(Control), T₁ -(100% RDF), T₂ -NP (150kg/ha;70kg/ha), T₃-NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) +*Azospirillum*, T₄-NPK(140kg/ha;70kg/ha;70kg/ha), T₅-NPK(110kg/ha;40kg/ha;30kg/ha)+*Azotobacter*, T₆-NPK+

Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha, T₇-NPK+ S+ Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) +50kg/ha+30kg/haT₈-NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) +*Azotobacter*+*Azospirillum*. Variety-PMH-2 was used as experimental material for sowing purpose at a depth of 3-5 cm using a spacing of 60 cm × 15 cm using 25 kg/ha recommended dose of sowing seed. Kharif season crop under year 2021-23, Number of treatments-8, No. of replications-3, Total number of plots-24, Gross plot size-4.5m x4.5m, Experimental design-Randomized block design.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth parameters of Maize

Plant height

The data pertaining to plant height of Maize at different stages of crop growth (30 DAS and at harvest) are presented in table 1. The results revealed that the plant height of maize increased progressively with increase in the age of the crop. Both methods of planting and integrated nutrient management practices had a significant impact on plant height of maize. Plant height of maize as effected by different inorganic nutrients and after applying biofertilizers is given in table 1. At 30 DAS the plant height increased significantly from 35.34 cm (control) to 37.34 cm when recommended dosage of fertilizers was applied. Similarly the plant height further increased significantly to 36.34 cm in the presence of nitrogen (150 kg per ha and 70 kg per ha). In addition, the plant height has been further increased 38.34 cm in the presence of *Azotobacter*. In contrast the plant height has been decreased to 35.34 cm when zinc was applied at 50kg per ha and finally increased upto 36.34 cm when nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (110kg per ha, 50kg per ha and 50kg per ha) in combination of *Azotobacter*&*Azospirillum* was supplied. The similar trend in plant height has been observed at 60 DAS and at the time of harvest (90 DAS). At harvesting the plant height increased significantly from 138.73 cm (control) to 140.12 cm in the presence of recommended dosage of fertilizers. Moreover it has further been increased up to 141.45 cm when nitrogen and phosphorus was applied at 150 kg per ha; 70 kg per ha). Similarly it has been further increased significantly to 142.87 cm when nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (110 kg per ha, 50 kg per ha and 50 kg per ha) in combination with *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum* was applied.

Table 1. Effect of inorganic nutrients and bio-fertilizers on growth parameters at various growing stage of maize crop

	30 DAS	60 DAS	AT HARVEST (90 DAS)
Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant height (cm)	Plant height (cm)
T ₀ (Control)	35.34	95.12	138.73
T ₁ (100% RDF)	37.31	98.12	140.12
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	36.33	97.12	141.45
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	35.34	97.45	138.76
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	38.32	97.76	141.98
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	39.31	98.12	139.90
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	35.25	98.78	142.95
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	35.34	98.99	142.99
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	34.34	99.57	142.87
CD @ 5%	1.05	3.21	7.82
S.E m (±)	2.18	4.15	6.18

Table 2. Effect of inorganic nutrients and bio-fertilizers on growth parameters at Various growing stage of maize crop

	30 DAS	60 DAS	AT HARVEST (90 DAS)
Treatments	Leaf area index	Leaf area index	Leaf area index (cm²)

	(cm ²)	(cm ²)	
T ₀ (Control)	1.05	2.05	2.05
T ₁ (100% RDF)	1.90	2.72	3.29
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	1.67	2.62	3.23
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.83	2.83	3.42
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	1.79	3.13	3.70
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	1.86	2.79	3.53
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	1.92	2.78	3.42
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	1.95	3.29	3.63
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	1.98	3.93	3.94
CD @ 5%	0.14	0.28	0.32
S.E m (±)	0.09	0.10	0.11

Table 3. Effect of inorganic nutrients and bio-fertilizers on growth parameters at various growing stage of maize crop

	30 DAS	60 DAS	AT HARVEST (90 DAS)
Treatments	Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)	Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)	Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)
T ₀ (Control)	10.75	24.60	38.27
T ₁ (100% RDF)	17.87	43.01	76.04
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	14.42	42.00	75.65

T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	21.89	43.10	77.89
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	20.46	41.89	76.98
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	19.43	42.00	84.89
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	19.57	44.56	88.06
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	20.83	49.79	92.98
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	22.33	51.53	101.13
CD @ 5%	1.85	4.45	8.55
S.E m (±)	0.64	1.55	3.04

Mean followed by same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at 5 percent level of significance using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT), DAS= Days after Sowing; RDF recommended dose of fertilizer (NPK); T₀ (Control); T₁ (100% RDF); T₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha); T₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum*; T₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha); T₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*; T₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha; T₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha; T₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*.

The application of nitrogen fertilizer level with increasing levels has significantly increased the plant height due to the positive effect of nitrogen element on plant growth. Similar finding was reported by Asif *et al*, (2013) where there is significant effect of N and Zn observed in maize which attributed to more vegetative development that resulted in increased mutual shading and intermodal extension. Nitrogen is vital as it is the main component of chlorophyll, amino acids and building blocks of protein. Providing adequate nitrogen allows the crop to grow to full maturity rather than delaying it. Increase in plant height in response to higher N levels has also been confirmed by Akbar *et al*, (2002) and Rasheed *et al*, (2004). According to the findings of De Lucca *et al*, (2012) inoculation treatments of maize seeds with liquid

Azospirillumbrasilense also cause an increase in the height of plants. According Puente *et al*, (2009), the inoculation with the optimal bacterial concentration of *Azospirillum* increased plant height.

Leaf area index

Leaf area (LA) explains how efficiently the nutrients were used for metabolic activities by growing crop in the field. Leaf area index of maize as affected by different level of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc, sulphur and biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* + *Azospirillum*) is presented in Table 2 It is evident from the table that the increasing level of nitrogen have influenced leaf area index significantly from (control) to when nitrogen fertilizer was applied at 30 DAS, similarly the leaf area index further increased to. The results showed that leaf area index and harvest index increased with increasing levels of fertilizers. The leaf area index in the control was 1.19 which increased to 1.87 with 50 per cent of the recommended NPK dose and to 2.57 with recommended NPK dose. The leaf area index further increased when mineral fertilizers were applied in combination with organic sources. Thus, with recommended NPK and 10 Mg ha⁻¹ FYM treatment (RNPK + FYM10), the leaf area index was 3.23 and with 50% of the recommended NPK plus 20 Mg FYM and 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ Azotobacter inoculum (RNPK50 + FYM20 + AI1.5), leaf area index was 3.26 which was the highest amongst all treatments. This may be attributed to the role of N in vegetative growth of the plants and its influence on utilization of P, K and other nutrient elements (Inamulhaq and Jakhro, 1996). Furthermore, FYM ensure slow release of N through its mineralization and N-fixation through Azotobacter ensured N supply to plants throughout their life cycle causing more vegetative growth (Ali and Bhatti, 2008).

Dry matter accumulation

In the similar manner, maximum dry weight at 60 DAS was received in treatment T6 (23.10 g) and it was found that T12 (23.00 g) was found to be significant and is statistically at par with treatment T6. The results were similar with the findings by Cohen *et al*, (1980) which showed that inoculation of plants with *Azospirillum* showed significant increase of root and dry shoot dry weight reported in Maize. Carletti, (2000) reported that *Azotobacter* fixes air nitrogen and produces plant growth promoters with increase in plant growth and the number of hair roots and plants take up more water and nutrients. *Azotobacter* fixes air nitrogen and produces plant growth promoters with increase in plant growth and the number of hair roots and plants take up more water and nutrients. These results were similar with findings by Carletti, (2000). The results were also similar with findings by Cohen *et al*, (1980) who reported that *Azospirillum* inoculated plants showed significant increases of root and shoot dry weight of plants in maize. De Lucca *et al*, (2012) found that inoculation of maize seeds with *Azospirillumbrasilense* also causes a significant increase in the plants' dry matter. According to Puente *et al*, (2009), the inoculation with the optimal bacterial concentration of *Azospirillum* increased root length and root and aerial dry weight.

Yield attributes of Maize

Final Plant Population

Number of grains per cob

It is evident from the data presented in the Table 4 that grain rows cob⁻¹ (cm) was affected significantly by combination of bio-fertilizers, nitrogen and zinc. The highest grain row (14 cm) was recorded with the inoculation of Azospirillum + 150 kg ha⁻¹ N + 15 kg ha⁻¹ Zn (T₆) and remained at par to T₂, T₃, T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇, T₈. However, treatment T₁ found lowest values. The number of grain rows per cob varied with nutrient application as these outcomes substantiate by the findings of Bakry *et al*, (2009) who reported that different micronutrients and their combination was testified on maize crop which proved beneficial and salubrious in enhancing all physiological and yield parameters of maize crop and also gave a good response in terms of number of grain. On the basis of experiment conducted by Kruczek, (2005) by applying different levels of multi-component fertilizers gave a significant effect on number of grain rows per cob.

Number of cobs per plant

Length of cob

Cob height is the internodal point where the cob for development of cob is established.

Diameter of cob

The results on cob diameter (cm) as affected by nitrogen management techniques using biofertilizers and zinc are shown in Table 4, and it was discovered that the cob diameter was not significant compared to the other treatments. The inoculation of Azospirillum + 150 kg ha⁻¹ N + 15 kg ha⁻¹ Zn (T₆) produced the cob diameter with the greatest value (13.09 cm), and the cob diameter with the lowest value (11.65 cm) was obtained with Azospirillum + 90 kg ha⁻¹ N + 15 kg ha⁻¹ Zn (T₄). According to some experts' findings, applying nitrogen fertiliser increased the cob diameter values (Kara *et al*, 1999; Turk and Alagoz, 2018).

Table 4. Effect of inorganic nutrients and biofertilizers on growth components of maize crop at harvest`

Treatments	Final Plant population (no)	Length of cob (cm)	Diameter of cob (cm)	Number of cobs/ Plant	Number of grains/ cob
T ₀ (Control)	130	9.03	8	1.1	280.33
T ₁ (100% RDF)	168	13.75	14	1.2	390.47
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	168	15.06	15	1.2	398.20
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	168	13.09	13	1.2	376.23
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	170	14.60	15	1.2	362.10
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	171	14.44	15	1.1	363.17
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	169	11.03	15	1.3	387.17
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	171	15.96	16	1.2	404.37
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	173	16.82	16	1.3	424.83
S.Em (±)				2.25	2.41
CD at 5 %				6.74	7.28

Table 4. Effect of inorganic nutrients and biofertilizers on growth components of maize crop at harvest

Treatments	Grain yield/cob (g)	100-grain weight (g)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Stover yield (q/ha)	Harvest index (%)
T ₀ (Control)	75.20	15.33	25.33	35.3	36.55
T ₁ (100% RDF)	89.70	23.41	42.20	72.3	36.86
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	103.4	26.57	37.30	68.1	35.39
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	75.20	20.36	39.40	61.4	39.09
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	86.88	24.90	48.20	79.6	37.72
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	87.12	24.09	45.20	83.6	35.09
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	88.32	23.05	50.10	86.4	36.70
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	101.00	25.01	59.70	93.4	38.99
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	97.52	23.80	52.00	89.7	36.70
S.Em (+)			2.25	2.41	
CD at 5 %			6.74	7.28	

Table 5. Economics and incremental cost benefit ratio of different inorganic nutrients and bio-fertilizers

Treatments	Mean Seed yield (q/ha)	Increase yield over control (q/ha)	Return increased yield (Rs. /ha)	Total cost (Rs./ha)	Net profit (Rs./ha)	Incremental cost benefit ratio
T ₀ (Control)	30.20	0.0	-	-	-	-
T ₁ (100% RDF)	42.20	12.0	23400	5432	17968	3.31
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	37.30	7.1	13845	5353	8492	1.59
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	39.40	9.2	17940	4152	13788	3.32
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	48.20	18.0	35100	6637	28463	4.29
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	45.20	15.0	29250	4867	24383	5.01
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	50.10	19.9	38805	7475	31330	4.19
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	59.70	29.5	57525	7918	49607	6.27
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	52.00	21.8	42510	5892	36618	6.21

4.3.6 Grain yield per cob

Application of nitrogen in combination with biofertilizers and zinc has increased the number of grains per cob insignificantly in this field experiment. The increment in number of grains per cob might be due to the presence of magnesium in multi-nutrients solution as grains number are direct index of pollen viability and where magnesium is proved to increase fruit set and pollen viability and significant effect on pollen formation as reported by Saracoglu *et al*, 2011.

4.3.7 100-grain weight

Regardless of the type of fertiliser (bio- and/or chemical fertilisers), the most effective method of application of ear length, number of rows/ear, number of seeds/row, 100 grain, weight, and grains yield/plant is with dressing soil application because microorganisms have the ability to produce some growth regulator substances, by root colonising bacteria, which it may play a significant role in plant growth by promoting photosynthesis, translocation, and accumulating (Panwar *et al*, 2006; Tarang *et al*, 2013).

4.3.8 Grain yield

Results presented in Table 4 indicated that the highest grain yield of 59.70 q/ha was recorded with combined application of NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha (T₇) and significantly superior to rest of the other treatments. This was followed by treatment of NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*+ *Azospirillum* and NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha and both the treatments were statistically at par to each other. The lowest yield (37.30 q/ha) was recorded in the treatment of NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha) (T₂) and NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum* and these treatment were statistically at par to each other. Rest of the treatments were found in middle order in yield potential. The present findings are similar to Singh *et al*, (2018) they found that the highest yield was recorded by the application of recommended NPK with micronutrients to compare alone application of recommended NPK. The present findings are similar to Mahata *et al*, (2023) he observed that the growth and yield parameters of hybrid maize varieties increased significantly with increasing nitrogen levels. These results are also supported by Gajet *al*, (2013) found that the positive significant relationship was shown between the nutritional status of maize and grain yield. Inoculation with *Azospirillum* resulted in significant yield increases of the magnitude 10 to 30 percent (Bashan *et al*, 2004) Similar findings by Hajnal-Jafari *et al*, (2012) who indicated that grain yield increased with inoculation by *Azotobacter*. The findings were also similar to Sepat and Kumar (2007) who observed that *Azotobacterchroococcum* significantly increased grain yield of maize over no inoculation. The yield of grains significantly increased when *Azospirillumbrasilense* or commercial bio-fertilizers were used in conjunction with

a half-dose of N (144kgN/ha). Additionally, the crop's seed production was increased by seed inoculation with Rhizobium, phosphorus-solubilising bacteria, and organic amendment (Panwar *et al*, 2006).

4.3.9 Stover yield

Similar to grain yield, the highest stover yield 93.4 q/ha was observed in the treatments of NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha (T₇) followed by treatment of NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*+ *Azospirillum* and NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha and these treatments were statistically at par to each other. The lowest stover yield 61.4 q/ha was recorded in the treatment of NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum* followed by NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha). Rest of the treatments were found middle order in stover yield potential. The present findings are supported by Singh *et al*, (2018) observed that the highest stover yield was recorded by the application of recommended NPK with micronutrients. These results were also similar to Mahata *et al*, (2023) found that the stover yield in increase with the increase of nitrogen level.

4.3.10 Harvest index

Data presented in Table 5 indicated that the highest (39.09) harvest index was recorded in the treatment of NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum*(T₃) followed by treatment of NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha and NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha). The lowest harvest index (35.09) was recorded in the treatment of NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + *Azotobacter* followed by NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha). Yazdani *et al*, (2009) showed that application of bio-fertilizers in combination with fertilizer (NPK) gradually improved ear weight, row number, biological yield and harvest index and grain number/row and ultimately increased grain yield of maize.

4.3.11 Net profit and Incremental cost benefit ratio

Data presented in Table 5 indicated that the maximum net profit (Rs. 49607) and highest incremental cost benefit ratio (6.27) was recorded in the treatment of NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha (T₇) followed by NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*+ *Azospirillum*. The lowest net profit and B C ratio was recorded in the treatment of NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha) followed by NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum*. These results were supported by Singh *et al*, (2018) observed that the highest B C ratio and net profit was recorded by the application of NPK with micronutrients.

4 Analysis of physiochemical properties of soil

4.4.1 Physical and chemical properties of soil

Table 6 presents the data on analysis of physiochemical properties of soil after maize harvest compared with control, all the treatments significantly increased soil pH, EC, available N, P, K, S, bulk density, particle density and porosity. Organic fertilizer applied at 10 t/ha had the highest soil pH (6.23), OM (2%) and N (0.14%). Organo-mineral fertilizer applied at 10 t/ha recorded the highest soil available P (10.05 mg/kg) and K (0.51 C mol /kg). Application of 300kg/ha NPK15:15:15 fertilizer reduced soil pH while OG and OMF increased soil pH the increase in soil pH and OM by OG and OMF might be related to the high amount of N and P in the fertilize formulation. This finding corroborates with the work of Okunlola *et al*, (2011) who found that organic manures increase soil pH and OM, N, P, K, Ca and Mg.

4.4.1.1 Bulk density, Particle density, Porosity, Chemical properties, EC and pH

Results showed that the physical and chemical parameters of soil were significantly affected by the application of biofertilizers and inorganic fertilizers.

Soil pH value of 7.9 was recorded in control (a little alkaline) whilst; minimum pH value (7.25) was recorded in T₄ followed by T₂ where inorganic nutrients were applied both alone or in combination. Hence, addition of biofertilizers irrespective to its nature elevated the soil pH. Significant differences among the treatments were observed in soil bulk density, particle density and porosity as compared to the control. Combination of organic manures might have improved the nitrogen use efficiency, micro and macro nutrient recovery and help in P solubilization and its uptake by the plants and enhanced K availability that in turn resulted in better growth and yield of maize. Increased organic matter due to application of organic manures improved crop performance and soil characteristics (Lima *et al*, 2009). Therefore, combined application of organic and inorganic fertilizers is considered a good

Table 6. Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil among different fertilizer treatments after the harvest of maize (Same letter within each column indicate no significant differences among the treatments ($P \leq 0.05$))

Treatments	pH (1:2)	EC (dSm^{-1})	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P (kg/ha)	Available K (kg/ha)
T ₀ (Control)	7.90	0.21	256.1	4.7	126.6
T ₁ (100% RDF)	7.56	0.22	254.5	4.67	126.4
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	7.29	0.19	308.4	136.8	107.9
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	7.30	0.18	312.5	138.5	122.5
T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	7.25	0.20	318.8	140.0	144.8
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	7.37	0.20	334.5	166.1	208.7
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	7.38	0.21	341.2	223.1	245.5
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	7.39	0.20	345.4b	224.8	252.5
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	7.40	0.20	352.5b	225.4	265.6
CD (p=0.05)	0.161	0.458	22.60	10.75	15.55

Table 6. Physical and chemical characteristics of the soil among different fertilizer treatments after the harvest of maize

Treatments	Available S (kg/ha)	Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	Particle density (Mg m^{-3})	Porosity (%)
T ₀ (Control)	11.6	1.59	2.56	37.89
T ₁ (100% RDF)	10.6	1.58	2.54	37.79
T ₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	20.0	1.53	2.56	40.23
T ₃ NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + <i>Azospirillum</i>	21.6	1.54	2.56	39.85

T ₄ NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha)	22.8	1.55	2.54	38.97
T ₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i>	26.0	1.49	2.56	41.80
T ₆ NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha	34.3	1.56	2.53	38.34
T ₇ NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha	35.8	1.56	2.57	39.30
T ₈ NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Azospirillum</i>	37.5	1.57	2.54	38.19
CD (p=0.05)	1.58	0.012	—	—

Addition of organic manures, regardless to its nature, abridged soil pH. Similar findings were also reported by Yaduvanshi, (2003) that a reduction of soil pH occurs when green manure or farmyard manure was used in alkaline soils. Low pH value for FYM is very valuable for calcareous soils (Karami *et al*, 2012). Further, reduced bulk density might be due to increased soil bio pores and soil aeration, higher soil organic carbon content, and better soil aggregation by the application of bulky organic manures that ultimately improved soil porosity and water holding capacity as well (Gangwar *et al*, 2006). Organic manure (from different sources) application enhanced soil porosity, soil moisture contents and water holding capacity while reduced soil compaction and bulk density (Papini *et al*, 2011). Moreover, Bandyopadhyay *et al*, (2010) also reported a negative correlation of soil bulk density of top 15 cm soil layer with the organic carbon contents present in it.

Available NPK

The application of biofertilizers increased soil P available and nitrogen. This demonstrated that applying biofertilizers containing bacteria that fix nitrogen and solubilize phosphorus (P) improved the soil's N content and P availability. These outcomes are consistent with the studies. According to Li *et al*, 2010 inoculation of P-solubility and N-fixing microbes increased soil availability of P, nitrogenase activity, and plant biomass. It was also possible to expand the PSB population in the soil through the injection of P solubilizing bacteria, which increased P solubility. The expansion of P-solubilizing microbes and P solubilisation were positively correlated with the studies conducted by Nosrati *et al*, (2014). Plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere are the main factor for plant growth and soil fertility. The current study indicated that the plant growth, yield and grains quality of maize were positively affected by the application of biogas slurry, humic acid, biofertilizers, and their combinations. The effective role of biofertilizer inoculation on plant growth could be related to its ability to produce high quantities of auxin, such as indole acetic acid.

Moreover, these microorganisms and AMF have the potential for N fixation, and P and K mobilization, leading to enhanced nutrient uptake and plant growth (Abdel-Fattah *et al*, 2014). Previous studies also reported that the N-fixing and P-solubilizing bacterial strains had the ability to provide nutrients and stimulate each other through their physical and biochemical activities and, subsequently, enhancing the physiological properties of plants (Jha and Saraf, 2012). A similar study has also shown that application biofertilizers, humic acid, and their combinations positively affected plant growth parameters (Abou-Aly and Mady, 2009).



SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

An investigation was conducted to study the “**Effect of Inorganic Nutrients and Biofertilizers on Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in N-W Region of Punjab**” at the experimental farm, Guru Kashi University, Talwandi Sabo (Bathinda) during rabi season 2022-23. The experiment comprised of eight treatments T₀ (Control); T₁(100% RDF); T₂ NP (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha); T₃NP (100kg/ha; 40kg/ha) + *Azospirillum*; T₄NPK (140kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha); T₅ NPK (110kg/ha; 40kg/ha; 30kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*; T₆NPK + Zn (150kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha; T₆NPK + S + Zn (160kg/ha; 70kg/ha; 70kg/ha) + 50kg/ha + 30kg/ha; T₇NPK (110kg/ha; 50kg/ha; 50kg/ha) + *Azotobacter*+ *Azospirillum*. The experiment was laid out in split plot design and replicated thrice.

The findings of the study project demonstrated that addition of bio-fertilizers with good management is not required but does allow for better timing during plant growth. Due to the ideal values of physical and chemical properties, the highest values of the investigated soil fertility parameters were found during the time of the study year with better precipitation distribution during the vegetation period. The interaction between fertilizer application and sampling period revealed that the impact of applied fertilizers on the two cultures tested for their effect on the growth parameters, development stages, and yield parameters of maize crop.

Over the course of a one year study, analysis of grain yield and the chemical makeup of both tested cultures, including nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and sulphur, showed significant differences between these parameters and the applied fertilization treatments. The best results were obtained in the treatment, which included a combination of high amounts of mineral NPK fertilizers and microbial inoculants. It was also found that the combined application of microbial inoculants and lower doses of mineral NPK fertilizers increased the yield of maize and compared to the use of only NPK fertilizers in our case study. Furthermore, the combined treatments had better results than the individual ones with big variations, particularly the ones linked to the applied organic manure. The beneficial impacts of the studied treatments are therefore more likely to have improved the availability of micronutrients in the soil and their ability to accumulate in the maize grain, which closely correlated with their equivalent available contents in the treated soil plots.

References:

- Anas, M., Liao, F., Verma, K.K., Sarwar, M.A., Mahmood, A., Chen, Z.-L., Li, Q., Zeng, X.-P., Liu, Y., Li, Y.-R., (2020). Fate of nitrogen in agriculture and environment: agronomic, eco-physiological and molecular approaches to improve nitrogen use efficiency. *Biol. Res.* 53, 1–20.
- Nigel Poole, Jason Donovan and Olaf Erenstein. 2021. Viewpoint: Agri-nutrition research: Revisiting the contribution of maize and wheat to human nutrition and health. *Food Policy*. 101976. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306919220301809?via%3Dihub>
- Erenstein, O., Jaleta, M., Sonder, K., Mottaleb, K., Prasanna, B.M., 2022. Global maize production, consumption and trade: trends and R&D implications. *Food Secur.* 1–25.
- Edmeades, G.O., Trevisan, W., Prasanna, B.M., Campos, H., 2017. Tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.), in: Genetic Improvement of Tropical Crops. Springer, pp. 57–109.
- Dilshad, M.D., Lone, M.I., Jilani, G., Malik, M.A., Yousaf, M., Khalid, R., Shamim, F., 2010. Integrated plant nutrient management (IPNM) on maize under rainfed condition. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 9, 896–901.

- Karami, A., Homae, M., Afzalnia, S., Ruhipour, H., Basirat, S., 2012. Organic resource management: Impact on soil aggregate stability and other soil physico-chemical properties. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 148, 22–28.
- Gangwar, K.S., Singh, K.K., Sharma, S.K., Tomar, O.K., 2006. Alternative tillage and crop residue management in wheat after rice in sandy loam soils of Indo-Gangetic plains. *Soil Tillage Res.* 88, 242–252.
- Gao, C., El-Sawah, A.M., Ali, D.F.I., AlhajHamoud, Y., Shaghaleh, H., Sheteiwy, M.S., 2020. The integration of bio and organic fertilizers improve plant growth, grain yield, quality and metabolism of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agronomy* 10, 319.
- Behera, B., Das, T.K., Ghosh, S., Parsad, R., Rathi, N., (2019). Effects of brown manure species, seed rate and time of application of 2,4-D on weed control efficiency, productivity and profitability in maize. *Indian Journal of Weed Science.* 51(4)393-397.
- Abdel-Fattah, G.M., Asrar, A.A., Al-Amri, S.M., Abdel-Salam, E.M., (2014). Influence of arbuscular mycorrhiza and phosphorus fertilization on the gas exchange, growth and phosphatase activity of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) plants. *Photosynthetica* 52, 581–588.
- Abou-Aly, H.E., Mady, M.A., (2009). Complemented effect of humic acid and biofertilizer on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) productivity. *Ann. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor* 47, 1–12.
- Khuram, M., Asif, I., Muhammad, H., Faisal, Z., Siddiqui, M.H., Mohsin, A.U., Bakht, H., Hanif, M., 2013. Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth, yield and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) fodder in Pakistan. *Philipp. J. Crop Sci.* 38, 43–46.

