

## ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	CurrentJournalofAppliedScienceandTechnology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_CJAST_109366
Titleof theManuscript:	ReviewonLabonChipfabricationanditsapplicationinfoodsafetysensing
Typeof the Article	

### PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'scomment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Somewhat</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Somewhat</p> <p>7. Research need to be more modern references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the articles suitable for scholarly</p>	<p>Somewhat</p>	

**ReviewForm 1.7**

communications?		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>I do believe that this study advances the field of research, but there are a number of points that need further clarification to the reader's benefits. Below are these points listed in order of importance.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The readability of this paper requires improving. I had difficulty following the text. The whole text should have been paragraphed properly. In the current form, the entire text appears as a big lump, impairing its readability substantially.</li><li>3. The literature review on the recent progress for this research topic is not sufficient.</li><li>4. Both the organization and the English usage of this manuscript are unsatisfactory. Thus, it has to be further improved.</li><li>5. From Figures, need more explanation also need results to explain your idea</li><li>6. The conclusion unclear please rewrite conclusion</li><li>7. Research needs to be more modern references</li></ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Aboud Kadhim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Middle Technical University, Iraq</b>