

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_109638
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis and Strategy of Application of Good Agriculture Practices (GAP) Cultivation of Rawit Capsicum (<i>Capsicum frutescens</i> L) to Farmers in Tidore District, East City, Tidore Island
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, manuscripts are significant to the scientific community</p> <p>Reduce title length. Write the correct one. Research title should be short and simple</p> <p>The abstract is good. It is recommended to add research objectives. The keywords are good (3 words). Maximum keywords are 5 words, it is recommended to add 2 more important words</p> <p>It is appropriate structure of the manuscript</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>References are good. Sufficient and up-to-date references and recommended that reference</p> <p>Result of this study is very good, but i recommend elaborating the reasoning. In conclusion part add the appropriate implication of the study</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article's English is suitable for scientific communication, but it is recommended to use grammarly.com</p> <p>Spelling correction in table 2</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivshankar Wanole
Department, University & Country	Vasantao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, India