

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_109863
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF THE USE OF GARLIC EXTRACT AS A PRESERVATIVE INGREDIENT FOR CARP WITH ORGANOLEPTIC TESTS AND pH TESTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES. THIS PAPER GOOD SOURCE FOR FOOD ENGINEERING, ESPECIALLY SEAFOOD PROCESSING. But I recommend the researcher add several analyzes methods for next work, for example chemical composition, or TBARS, TVBN, or microbial analyses. I understand that only analzed organoleptic analyses for determining product shelf life in this paper. Good thing for determining organoleptic test, but not usefully every time for "determining shelf-life" or "consumer-safety".</p> <p>I THINK NOT. I OFFER" "THE USE OF GARLIC EXTRACT TO CARP FISH FOR PREVENTING DEGRADATION, INVESTIGATION OF ORGANOLEPTIC AND PH TESTS DURING COLD STORAGE OF THE PRODUCT" I EXPLAIN THE REASON THAT WHY THEY SHOULD CHANGE THE NAME (IN CONROL FILE).</p> <p>NOT ENOUGH, I GIVED COMMENT ABOUT IT (IN CONTROL FILE).</p> <p>YES, THEY NEED FEW CHANGES.</p> <p>YES..</p> <p>THEY CAN ADD MORE REFERENCES ABOUT IT, IT MAKE STRONG THEIR RESEARCH.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES, I UNDERSTAND WELL.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>THE RESEARCHER NEED MORE INFORMATION ABOUT GARLIC EXTRACT, WHY SELECTED GARLIC EXTRACT AND WHY THEY SELECTED THAT CONCENTRATIONS? AND ALSO THE RESEARCHER NEED TO EXPLAIN STUDY AIM AND STUDY'S FINDING,IN ABSTRACT BRIEFLY.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irem Kilinc
Department, University & Country	Ege University, Turkey