Original Research Article

Studies on the Stability of Probiotic *Spirulina fusiformis*incorporated fish diets

Abstract

Aquaculture is one of the fastest-growing food production sectors in the world. Aquaculture is becoming one of the most viable and promising enterprises as a provider of human nutrition and food security. The use of probiotics might be a good option to reduce the risk of disease and enhance fish productivity. Probiotics thus are opening a new era in the health management strategy for aquatic species including finfish and shellfish. Probiotics are beneficial microorganisms that help in maintaining the well-being of the host animal. The development of non-antibiotic and environment-friendly probiotic feeds is one of the key factors for health management in aquaculture. Hence the dietary supplementation of probiotics is considered as an efficient strategy to combat pathogenic agents. The benefits of probiotic supplements include improved feed value, enzymatic contribution to digestion, and inhibition of pathogenic microorganisms; Feed stability is of paramount importance in the manufacture of aquaculture diets. The water stability of the feed pellet depends on the individual ingredients that bind together. Hence this was designed to investigate the stability of probiotic feeds in water. Probiotic Spirulina added feed samples were soaked in a glass beaker containing water and allowed to remain for time intervals ranging from 10 to 60 minutes to study the stability of feed. The results showed that the control feed pellet (40.00 $\pm$ 1.00) was the least stable among the types of experimental feed in 60 minutes of immersion. The increased level of stability (71.66 %) was recorded in SF2 and SF3 (2 & 3% inclusion) feed type.

Key words: Probiotic feed, Stabiity of feed, Water stability, Feed formulation.

Introduction

Food is the principal operating cost in fish production. Fish need proper nutrition to grow and survive. Good nutrition in the fish production system is essential to economically produce a healthy, high-quality product. In aquaculture practices, supplementary feed is necessary. Feed must also be palatable to the particular fish, thus the constituents may be in quite good proportions with special reference to size, taste, and nutritional status [1]. The artificial feed of fish must be resistant to crumbling and water-soluble.

Fish nutrition has advanced dramatically in recent years with the development of new, balanced artificial diets that promote optimal fish growth and health. The development of new probiotic feed formulations supports the aquaculture industry. The development of probiotic feed applies to commercial use in aquaculture also. Alternative feed formulation ingredient selection is necessary and they must meet the fish's nutritional requirements, be less expensive, and be sustainable in the aquatic environment. Thus, the utilization of multifunctional probiotic Spirulina incorporated feed has emerged as a solution with numerous applications in aquaculture.

A probiotic can also be a health food, defined as a food that contains some healthy ingredients. The term probiotic is derived from the Greek words "pro" and "bios" meaning "life" and is often referred to as a life promoter that naturally helps to improve the overall health of the host. The supplementary feed has become inevitable for the success of fish culture. Supplementary feeding is known to increase the carrying capacity of culture systems and can promote fish production by many folds [2].

Spirulina acts as a growth promoter, and probiotic food booster of the immune system in fishes [3]. Spirulina is a freshwater microalgae belonging to the crossing of cyanobacterium or cyanophytes [4]. Spirulina is rich in nutrients, with high proportional protein content per cell, vitamins, essential amino acids, and fatty acids, as well as bioactive pigments. Spirulina is used as a health supplement for aquaculture. Spirulina is called a "Superfood".

Water stability is an important physical parameter in aquatic feed. It is defined as the retention of the pellet integrity with minimal disintegration and nutrient leaching, while it is immersed in the water and until it is consumed by fishes [5]. The addition of a specific feed binder improves the water stability of the pellet. Binders are substances that are used within aquaculture feeds to enhance the efficiency of the feed. Palatability, texture, water stability, and adequate digestibility of fish feed promote the growth of fin fishes[6]. Very little information is available on water stability and palatability of probiotic fish feeds. Hence the present study was designed to investigate feed stability of probiotic *Spirulina fusiformis* incorporated supplementary feed.

Materials and methods

Feed ingredients

The ingredients were selected based on quality and steady availability in local markets. The experimental probiotic feed could be prepared using ingredients such as corn flour, wheat flour, rice bran, groundnut oil cake, tapioca, agar agar, cod liver oil, vitamins, and minerals. Tapioca powder and agar was used as effective binder in experimental feeds. Commercial cod liver oil was also incorporated into the diet. *Spirulina fusiformis* was added as a probiotic source of feed.

Feed formulation

The experimental diets were formulated with ingredients as per "Pearson's square method" using a predetermined value of 50% protein content. Groundnut oil cake was used as a protein source. Corn flour, wheat flour, and rice bran was used as carbohydrate source. Cod liver oil was used as a lipid source. Tapioca powder and agar were utilized as binding agents. Vitamins and minerals were also added. The proportions of each ingredient were calculated using Pearson's formula (Table 1).

Probiotic feed Preparation[7]

The ingredients were sun-dried in a hot air oven at 55^{o}C and then made into fine powder. Then the ingredients were weighed according to the feed formulation. The dough was prepared by adding a sufficient quantity of distilled water. The dough was autoclaved for 15 minutes and subsequently cooled for the preparation of probiotic experimental feeds. Control and experimental feed was prepared using the ingredients. viz. Corn flour, wheat flour, rice bran, tapioca powder, groundnut oil cake powder, cod liver oil, agar agar, vitamins and minerals, Diet without incorporation of probiotics product was used as control. A dry form of *Spirulina fusiformis* was added at different proportions (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5%) into the cooled steam-cooked basal diet and thoroughly mixed. The dough was placed in the hand pelletizer and pushed out through 2mm die holes. The pellets were dried in a thermostatic oven at 40^{o}C until they reached constant weight, and stored in airtight jars at room temperature (28^{o}C). The pellets were broken into small sizes before being stored.

Determination of feed stability[8]

A feed stability test was conducted using triplicate feed samples. 25g of feed pallets were weighed and tied in nylon sieve material of 0.1mm mesh. Then the experimental feeds were slowly immersed in 1000ml of distilled water. Triplicate samples for each feed were soaked in a glass beaker and allowed to remain for time intervals ranging from 10 to 60 minutes. At the end of every test time, the samples for each triplicate were lifted slowly with the aid of the twine and allowed to drain for 3 minutes. The water was filtered through filter paper and soaked pellets were dried in a hot air oven at 105^{o}C for at least 12 hrs. After drying, the filter paper with pellets was again weighed. The weight obtained is the leftover from the original weight after immersion due to disintegration for each test period. The water stability was determined as the percentage of the weight.

Percentage feed stability = Weight of retained experimental pellet / Initial total weight of experimental pellets X 100.

Statistical methods

Data obtained were subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between means were determined and compared by the Duncan multiple range test (DMRT) and the significances are mentioned. The data are represented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation.

Results and Discussion

Feed stability (%)

The fish feed pellets' quality was evaluated by stability test. The results of the feed stability test are presented in Table - 2. At the first 10 minutes, the stability of all probiotic *Spirulina* diet SF1, SF2, SF3, SF4, and SF5 ranged between 93.00 ± 1.73 and 98.00 ± 1.00 %, whereas the lowest percentage of feed stability (80.66 ± 1.52 %) was recorded in control feed. The highest percentage of probiotic *Spirulina* feed stability (98.00 ± 1.00 %) was noticed in the SF1 feed type. This could be achieved by uniform particle size (0.5mm) of the ingredient that was used in feed production. A similar type of observation was also reported about the feed stability of all probiotic feeds at an initial 10 minutes was less than 100 percent[9]. This may be due to the inclusion of local binders and plant ingredients in the experimental diets. The reduction in feed stability is caused by plant-based nutrient (tapioca flour, wheat flour, rice bran, etc) compounds[10][11].

At the end of 20 minutes, all probiotic *Spirulina* feed types (SF1, SF2, SF3, SF4, and SF5) except SF1 were found to be quite stable with the range of 92.33 ± 0.58 %. The lowest percentage of feed stability (70.66 ± 0.57) was recorded in the control feed. At the end of 30 minutes of test time, the percentage of dry matter that remained in the feed was 63.66 ± 1.15, 83.00 ± 1.00, 80.33 ± 1.53, 82.66 ± 2.52, 80.66 ± 3.51, and 81.00 ± 1.00 in control, SF1, SF2, SF3, SF4, and SF5 feed types respectively.

In 40 minutes, there was a significant decrease in the stability of all the pelleted *Spirulina* probiotic feeds. Among the all probiotic *Spirulina* feed, the higher percentage of stability 76.66 ± 2.08 was noted in the SF1 feed (1% inclusion) and a lower percentage of stability 74.66 ± 1.53 was observed in the SF5 (5%Spirulina inclusion) feed. At the time of 50 minutes of immersion, a similar percentage of stability was observed in probiotic *Spirulina* incorporated diets SF1, SF2, SF3, SF4, and SF5, with corresponding values of 70.00 ± 2.65, 71.66 ± 0.58, 71.66 ± 2.52, 71.16 ± 3.33 and 71.33 ± 0.58, %. The increased level of stability (71.66 %) was recorded in SF2 and SF3 (2 & 3% inclusion) feed type. A similar pattern of results was reported that the residual moisture content, relative humidity, and storage temperature have significant influence on the viability and water stability of probiotics feed[12].

At the end of the experimental hour (60 minutes), the results of the feed stability test indicated that the control feed was less stable (40.00 ±1.00) than the experimental feed. The lowest percentage of stability in the control feed occurred due to the presence of high moisture content (9.30%) Among all probiotic *Spirulina* feeds, the poor stability was 55.33 ± 2.08 in the SF5 feed type. These feed pellets have water-soluble and rough ingredients. So it disintegrates faster at 60 minutes. The length of stability time is directly related to the composition of feed ingredients that will change every time and optimize the temperature[13]. Similar patterns of values werealso reported[14] [15]. In 60 minutes of immersion, the highest percentage of feed stability was 62.33 ± 4.93 noted in the SF1 diet. Diets with high dietary fiber content are relatively difficult to bind and this will result in fractures and cause a decrease in the feed stability of the feed [16].

In all feeds, the disintegration rate increased proportionately with the exposure time to water. The percentage of stability was found to be significantly increased in all probiotic-enriched feeds when compared with the control diet. The results of the water stability test indicated that the control feed pellet (40.00 + 1.00) was the least stable among the types of experimental feed. The moisture content of the control feed was noted as high as 9.30%, which influences the faster disintegration of the control feed. These results correlated with the findings of Keri Alhadi Ighwela[17] who reported that the stability of formulated feed composition, nature of ingredients, and moisture content.

The results of feed stability (62 %) in the SF1 feed type confirmed the works of Falayi *et al.*, [10] who investigated that good feed should have good feed stability to reduce feed loss, nutrient leaching and prevent water pollution. The stability of dried probiotic feed is also highly dependent on their final humidity and moisture content [18].The difference in the proportion also plays vital role in feed stability.

Conclusion

The length of water stability of fish feed has a noticeable effect on the probiotics Spirulina fusiformis. The length of stability time is good for the physical, chemical, and biological state of feed i.e. SF2 and SF33. Among the all experimental feeds, the superior percentage of stability 76.66 ±2.081 was recorded in the probiotic *Spirulina* SF1 feed at 60 minutes of immersion. This feed contained high fat and fiber content. In all probiotic feeds, the disintegration rate increased proportionately with the exposure time to water. The percentage of stability was found to be significantly increased in all probiotic-enriched feeds when compared with the control diet. The results of the water stability test indicated that the control feed pellet (40.00 ± 1.00) was the least stable among the type of experimental feed.

Table-1: Ingredient composition of probiotics Fish meals containing *Spirulina fusiformis* were used in experiments.

Sl.No	**Ingredients**	**Feed types and quantity of each ingredient (g/100g)**					
		Control (0%)	**SF1 (1%)**	**SF2 (2%)**	**SF3 (3%)**	**SF4 (4%)**	**SF5 (5%)**
1	Groundnut oil cake	26.28	26.51	26.11	25.91	25.99	24.91
2	Rice bran	24.75	21.93	21.93	22.83	22.93	22.83
3	Corn flour	17.35	17.17	16.17	16.17	15.17	15.17
4	Wheat flour	17.35	17.17	17.17	17.17	17.17	17.17
5	Tapioca powder	10.27	10.63	10.63	10.63	10.63	10.63
6	Cod liver oil	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
7	Vitamins & Minerals	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
8	Agar	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
9	*Spirulinafusiformis* powder	_	1.00	2.00	3 .00	4.00	5.00
	Total	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**	**100.00**

Table-2. Feed stability (%) of probiotic *Spirulina.fusiformis* feed pellets in freshwater.

Sl .No	Time of immersion (minutes)	Feed types/ Percentage of stability					
		CONTROL	PS1	PS2	PS3	PS4	PS5
1	10	80.66 ± 1.52	98.00 ± 1.00^{b}	93.00 ± 1.73^{c}	92.33 ± 3.79^{d}	93.33 ± 3.06^{d}	95.00 ± 1.00^{b}
2	20	70.66 ± 0.57	92.33 ± 0.58^{a}	86.16 ± 1.26^{a}	87.66 ± 3.21^{c}	86.33 ± 3.06^{b}	85.33 ± 1.53^{a}
3	30	63.66 ± 1.15	83.00 ± 1.00^{a}	80.33 ± 1.53^{b}	82.66 ± 2.52^{b}	80.66 ± 3.51^{b}	81.00 ± 1.00^{a}
4	40	53.33 ± 2.88	76.66 ± 2.08^{b}	75.00 ± 1.00^{b}	75.33 ± 3.51^{b}	75.33 ± 3.21^{b}	74.66 ± 1.53^{b}
5	50	48.66 ± 3.51	70.00 ± 2.65^{b}	71.66 ± 0.58^{b}	71.66 ± 2.52^{b}	71.16 ± 3.33^{b}	71.33 ± 0.58^{b}
6	60	40.00 ± 1.00	62.33 ± 4.93^{b}	59.33 ± 1.15^{c}	60.66 ± 0.58^{a}	61.00 ± 3.61^{b}	55.33 ± 2.08^{b}

Each value is ± standard deviation of triplicate observations.
Mean values within the same row sharing different superscript are significantly different (P< 0.05)
Mean value within the same column sharing as same superscript are not significantly different (P<0.05)

References

1. Bagre P. Parashar A. Vyas V. Patil S and Gupta A. The proximate composition of different formulated feeds impregnated with natural carotenoid sources. Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications.2011;4 (2): 254-263.
2. Asma Chaudhary and Javed Iqbal Qazi. Influence of a ProbioticPseudomonas pseudoalcaligenesFermented Feed on Growth Performance of Rohu(Labeorohita)Fingerlings. Punjab University Journal of Zoology. 2007;22(1-2):41-56.
3. Shelke A.D. and Wani G.P. Protective effect of dietary supplementation of Spirulina platensis on improvement of growth parameters in mercuric chloride exposed fish, *Labeorohita*.International Journal of Life Sciences. 2015;1**:** 37-41.
4. Sarra Bensehaila, Lynda Benhadja, Amel Doumandji, Fayza Bey and AbderrahmenBenzaiche. Effect of the concentration of *Spirulina platenisis* on the growth of *Bifidobacterium breve* .Journal of Life Scences, 2013;7(12):1225-1233.
5. Obaldo L.G. Divakaran S. and Tacon A.G. Method for determining physical stability of shrimp feeds inwater. Aquaculture research. 2002; 33(5): 369-377.
6. Argüello-Guevara W. and Molina-Poveda C. Effect of binder type and concentration on prepared feed stability, feed ingestion and digestibility of *Litopenaeusvannamei*brood stock diets. Aquaculture Nutrition, 2013;19 (4) **:** 515-522.
7. Seenivasan C. Radhakrishnan S. Muralisankar T and Saravana Bhavan P. *Bacillus subtilis* on survival, growth, biochemical constituents and energy utilization of the freshwater *prawn Macrobrachiumrosenbergii*post larvae. The Egyptian Journal of. Aquatic Research, 2012;38(3), 195-203.
8. Keri Alhadi Ighwela, Aziz Bin Ahmad and Abol-Munafi A.B. Water Stability and Nutrient Leaching of Different Levels of Maltose Formulated Fish Pellets. Global Veterinaria, 2013; 10 (6): 638-642.
9. Momoh A.T Abubakar M.Y. Pinjol J.K. Effect of ingredients substitution on binding, water stability and floatation of farm-made fish feed. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies,2016; 4(3): 92-97.
10. Falayi B.A. Balogun A.M. Adebayo O.T. Madu C.T. and Eyo A.A. Comparison of seven Locally prepared starches Nigeria with sodium carboxyl methylcellulosefor water stability in

African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) feeds. Journal of Sustainable Tropical Agricultural Research. 2004; 9: 104-108.

11. Lim C. and Dominy W. 1990. Evaluation of soybean meal as a replacement for marine animal protein in diets for shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* 87:53-63.

12. Martín Sebastián ,Marcial-Coba, Susanne Knøchel, and Dennis Sandris Nielsen.Low-moisture food matrices as probiotic carriers, FEMS Microbiology Letters,2019;366, (2),22 -26.

13. Indra Wahyu Pratama M. Anik Martinah and AtingYuniarti. Effect of Prebiotic and Probiotic Fish Feed on Physical, Chemical and Biological Quality of Feed. The Journal of Experimental Life Science, 2021; 11(2):49-53.

14. Syed Ahamad Ali. Water stability of prawn feed pellets prepared using different binding materials with special reference to tapioca. *Research gate publication*. Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Cccm. 2017;682.: 47-51.

15. Monica K.S. and Jayaraj E. G. Review on probiotics as a functional feed additive in aquaculture, International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies, 2021;9(4): 201-207.

16. Akiyama D.M, Dominy W. G. and Lawrence A. L. Penaeid shrimp nutrition. In: Marine Shrimp Culture: Principles and Practices Edited by A.W. Fast and L .J. Lester.1992;535-568.

17. Keri Alhadi Ighwela, Aziz Bin Ahmad and Abol-Munafi A.B. Water stability and nutrient teaching of different level of maltose formulated fish pellets . Global Veterinaria, 2013;10 (6): 638-642.

18. Riya Ann Samuel, Suravi Sasmita Dash, Riyaz Ali.L, and Kuppusamy Alagesan Paari. Formulation and Characterization of Plant, Animal, and Probiotic Based Fish Meals and Evaluating their Efficacy on Growth and Performance in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) .Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences,2021; 9(9):1489-1497.