

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_109682
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Good Cancer Drugs via Myelodysplastic Syndromes
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The theme of the article has scientific relevance, is extremely innovative, but presents biased writing in the explanation of ideas. Presents inconsistent and incomplete data to confirm the theories presented.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No, the summary has 383 words and needs to be reduced. The phrase "Cancer is caused due to wound unhealing" misleads the reader regarding the causes of cancer since not all cancer is caused by wound healing. It is necessary to indicate which type of cancer is caused by ineffective wound healing. wounds' or whether they are common in neoplasms or specify that they are cancerous wounds or leave it open that poor wound healing is also a cause of cancer.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No</p> <p>6. The manuscript presents recent references, however, some statements and conclusions in the article regarding cancer treatments need to be based on methodological designs that support the statement.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniele Rodrigues Carvalho Caldas
Department, University & Country	Santo Agostinho University Center – UNIFSA, Brazil