

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_109563
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of POPs for Bt Cotton Production in Highly Calcareous Soils.need to change (Package of Practices means seed to seed which include all the agronomic practices done from sowing to harvest all the operations not single fertilizer application)
Type of the Article	Research or review article ?

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Cotton is the most important commercial crop cultivated in India. This information helps to the scientific community for carrying out the research in these aspects. But it should mention that weather it's related to one particular location or region or zone or entire India.</p> <p>No need to change</p> <p>Entire abstract has to be changed. It should be in simple 500 words.</p> <p>No, need to change a lot</p> <p>Yes, should be included that need of research should be included, and also should be included reasons for declining reasons for yields. No ofTreatments are not clear in content it said as ten (Material methods) but in table and content its 16</p> <p>Yes, still few more references can be added as the research paper is on 3 to 4 different aspects. Majority references are biofertilizers related only</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but still refinement of the language should be done, comments included in the manuscript. Uniform font size and style and spacing should be followed</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is more in general can be corrected by including the treatment values in the results and discussion instead of saying table no. The final conclusion should be given in farmer point of view as our main aim is farmers happiness entire conclusion should be changed</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thejavath Laxman
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telanganastate Agricultural University, India