

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_109916
Title of the Manuscript:	Neurological Complications of COVID-19 Vaccination: A Case Report of Generalized Convulsive Status Epilepticus
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript addresses an important and timely issue regarding neurological complications of COVID-19 vaccination, specifically focusing on Generalized Convulsive Status Epilepticus (GCSE). The rarity of GCSE as a complication of COVID-19 vaccination makes this case report valuable in contributing to the understanding of potential adverse events. <p>2.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title accurately reflects the content of the manuscript, providing a clear indication of the focus on neurological complications, specifically GCSE, following COVID-19 vaccination. <p>3.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract provides a concise and informative summary of the case report, including essential details such as patient demographics, clinical presentation, diagnostic findings, and treatment. Keywords appropriately highlight the key aspects of the report. <p>4.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The structure of the manuscript is generally appropriate, with clear sections for Introduction, Presentation of Case, Discussion, and Conclusion. Subsections such as Presentation of Case provide detailed information, including laboratory findings and imaging results. <p>5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript appears scientifically sound, presenting a well-documented case of GCSE following COVID-19 vaccination. Relevant medical data, including laboratory results and imaging findings, contribute to the credibility of the case report. The discussion covers potential mechanisms and hypotheses related to the development of GCSE after vaccination. <p>6.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references include a mix of recent and relevant sources, supporting the scientific context of the manuscript. Additional references could enhance the background, particularly regarding the neurological effects of COVID-19 vaccination. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language and English quality are generally appropriate for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical and typographical edits may be needed for clarity and precision. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is a valuable contribution to the literature on COVID-19 vaccine-related neurological complications, particularly GCSE. Consider revisiting the language for minor improvements in clarity and coherence. Ensure consistent formatting of units in the table. Encourage further discussion or speculation on potential preventive strategies or monitoring guidelines in the conclusion. <p>These comments aim to enhance the manuscript's clarity, coherence, and overall impact on the scientific community.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shivakumar S Inamdar
Department, University & Country	HKES, MTRIPS, RGUHS, India