

# Effect of NPK on growth and flower yield of Hibiscus (*Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*)

## ABSTRACT

The research work was conducted in the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the year 2019-2020. The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with three replications & 12 treatments. Treatments were allocated randomly to each replication each treatment comprised one plant which made a total of 36 plants. The best results were achieved in treatment T4 NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant with maximum plant height (113.62), the maximum number of leaves per plant (71.99), the maximum number of branches per plant (10.07), minimum days to bud initiation (43.31 days), minimum days taken flowering (49.87 days), maximum number of flower per plant (15.83), maximum flower diameter (cm) (13.06), flower weight (g) was found maximum (3.82), number of flower was found maximum (39575) along with maximum cost-benefit ratio (1: 1.46).

**Keywords:** Hibiscus, showy flowers, Cooperi cultivar, Horticulture Research, replications.

## **Introduction**

Hibiscus is a genus of more than 200 species of deciduous and evergreen shrubs, trees, annuals, and herbaceous perennials, widely distributed in warm-temperate subtropical and tropical regions. Hibiscus rosa-sinensis shrubs are exotic and make great container plants on patios and decks (Nau, 2011). H. rosa-sinensis (rose of China) is a rounded, bushy, evergreen, large shrub or small tree with hairless or slightly hairy shoots and ovate to broadly lance-shaped, glossy, dark green leaf (15 cm long), with toothed margins. Solitary, 5-petaled, bright crimson flowers, 10 cm across, with yellow anthered red stamens, are produced from the leaf axils from the summer to autumn. Flower colours range from crimson to orange, yellow, or white. Cooperi cultivar of H. rosa-sinensis is a compact (1-2 m), with lance-shaped leaves marbled olive green and white, sometimes tinted pink and bearing red flowers (Brickell, 1997).

The tropical Chinese hibiscus or China rose (*Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*), which may reach a height of 4.5 metres (15 feet), rarely exceeds 2 metres in cultivation. It is grown for its large somewhat bell-shaped blossoms. Cultivated varieties have red, white, yellow, or orange flowers. The East African hibiscus (*H. schizopetalus*), a drooping shrub with deeply lobed red petals, is often grown in hanging baskets indoors. Many species of Hibiscus are grown for their showy flowers or used as landscape shrubs. Hibiscus has also medicinal properties and takes part as a primary ingredient in many herbal teas. This plant is a popular landscape shrub, that creates a bold effect with its bed-textured, glossy dark green leaves and with 4- 6 inches wide and up to 8 inches long, showy flowers, produced throughout the year and grows up to 7-12. Sometimes its demand time to time increases during different Puja festivals. Hibiscus is propagated through vegetative methods by cutting and grafting to produce quality planting material on a large scale. Cutting is the most convenient method of propagation **Chatse and Kedar (2021)**.

The cutting should be taken from new growth or softwood. Softwood is branches on the hibiscus that have not yet matured. The hibiscus cutting should be 4 to 6 inches (10 to 15 cm.) long. Remove everything but the top set of leaves. A slant cut is given at the base of the cuttings and each cutting. A transverse cut is given at the top of each cutting. Dip the bottom of the hibiscus cutting in rooting hormone then place the cutting in well-drained soil and partial shade. The cuttings should be rooted in about eight weeks **Chatse and Kedar (2021)**.

*Hibiscus rosa sinensis*, a highly potential functional and valuable medicinal plant, has been reported in the ancient medicinal literature with beneficial effects in various disorders of humans. This is a tropical shrub, with large, glossy green leaves and spectacular trumpet-shaped flowers. Its medicinal values have been mentioned in traditional folk medicines for a variety of diseases **Eman et al., (2017)**.

Keeping all the above points in view, the present investigation was conducted on Hibiscus with the following objective.

- To find the most suitable treatment for plant growth and flower yield of *Hibiscus rosa sinensis*.
- To work out the economics of different treatments.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A field experiment entitled “Effect of NPK on growth and flower yield of Hibiscus (*Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*)” was carried out in the Horticulture Research Farm, Department of

Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences during the year 2019-2020. The hibiscus cuttings were planted in the pits with dimension 30cm<sup>2</sup> at a spacing of 1mx1m containing a mixture of 5 kg FYM & vermicompost. The experiment was conducted in a Randomized Block Design with (11+1) treatments and three replications. The experiment includes NPK application, at an interval of 30,60,90,120,150 and 180 days. Plants were selected randomly from each treatment per replication and their observations were recorded at 30 days intervals. Data were statistically analyzed by the method suggested by Fisher and Yates, 1963.

### Results and Discussion

After analyzing the data, the following data, the following outcomes were noted.

**Table 1 Treatment details**

SL.NO	TREATMENTS	TREATMENTS COMBINATION
1	T <sub>0</sub>	Control
2	T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant
3	T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant
4	T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant
5	T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant
6	T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant
7	T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant
8	T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant
9	T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant
10	T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant
11	T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant
12	T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant

### GROWTH PARAMETERS

**Height of plant (cm)**

The plant height was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The maximum plant height was observed in the treatment T4 NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant followed T<sub>3</sub> NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant and the minimum was observed in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N: P: K (0:0:0) gram per plant. The data is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2 Plant height at 30,60,90,120,150 and 180 DAT**

Treatment S. No.	Treatments details	Plant height (cm)					
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	150 DAT	180 DAT
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	34.80	39.32	43.84	49.65	55.10	59.25
T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant	62.02	67.90	73.35	79.57	85.72	90.24
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant	67.12	73.56	79.16	85.72	92.05	96.41
T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant	82.23	89.05	94.49	100.90	107.15	111.29
T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant	84.55	91.01	96.42	102.98	109.39	113.62
T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant	81.35	88.17	93.72	100.16	106.60	111.11
T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant	76.28	82.74	88.19	94.63	100.96	105.62
T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant	73.87	80.39	86.02	92.34	98.62	103.02
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant	73.99	80.24	85.38	91.63	98.04	102.32
T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant	73.61	80.06	85.18	91.30	97.55	102.36
T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant	76.68	83.01	88.23	94.56	100.89	105.44
T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant	74.41	80.86	86.07	92.88	99.02	103.18
	F-Test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S.Ed. (+)	2.00	1.942	2.02	1.93	2.08	1.98

	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>4.14</b>	<b>4.02</b>	<b>4.15</b>	<b>4.01</b>	<b>4.31</b>	<b>4.11</b>
--	---------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

### Number of leaves per plant

The Number of leaves per plant was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The maximum number of leaves per plant (71.99) was observed in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and was found to be the minimum (38.94) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P:K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 3

**Table 3 Number of leaves per plant at 30,60,90,120,150 and 180 DAT**

Treatments No.	Treatments details	Number of leaves per plant					
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	150 DAT	180 DAT
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	14.06	17.51	23.76	31.79	32.69	38.94
T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant	18.39	24.71	37.23	46.95	52.99	65.51
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant	16.75	22.89	35.30	46.53	51.45	63.86
T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant	22.56	29.08	41.52	54.34	58.23	70.67
T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant	24.53	30.65	43.06	55.37	59.58	71.99
T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant	21.37	27.62	39.95	54.44	57.11	69.44
T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant	18.80	25.11	37.32	46.15	52.47	64.68
T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant	20.62	26.87	39.39	47.79	54.71	67.23
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant	19.44	25.56	37.97	46.28	53.15	65.56
T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant	20.26	26.78	39.10	47.80	54.32	66.64
T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant	21.48	27.58	39.99	48.46	55.23	67.64
T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant	20.16	26.38	38.71	47.10	53.83	66.16
	<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
	<b>S.Ed. (+)</b>	<b>0.98</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>0.96</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.99</b>
	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>2.18</b>	<b>1.99</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>2.07</b>

### Number of branches per plant

The number of branches per plant was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The maximum number of branches per plant (10.07) was observed in the

treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and was found to be minimum (6.86) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 4

**Table 4 Number of branches per plant at 30,60,90,120,150 and 180 DAT**

Treatments No.	Treatments details	Number of branches per plant					
		30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	120 DAT	150 DAT	180 DAT
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	1.10	2.31	3.36	4.58	5.64	6.86
T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant	2.17	3.45	4.66	5.96	8.29	9.71
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant	2.26	3.59	4.82	6.14	8.55	9.90
T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant	2.51	3.72	4.90	6.18	8.48	10.10
T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant	2.63	3.88	5.11	6.33	8.65	10.07
T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant	2.48	3.71	4.92	6.23	8.67	10.13
T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant	2.20	3.47	4.69	5.89	8.41	9.93
T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant	2.06	3.29	4.49	5.77	8.43	9.88
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant	2.15	3.37	4.60	5.82	8.34	9.75
T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant	2.16	3.41	4.65	5.88	8.44	10.07
T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant	2.25	3.48	4.70	5.94	8.45	9.96
T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant	2.18	3.42	4.63	5.77	8.49	9.94
	<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
	<b>S.Ed. (+)</b>	<b>0.118</b>	<b>0.115</b>	<b>0.116</b>	<b>0.121</b>	<b>0.136</b>	<b>0.134</b>
	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>0.244</b>	<b>0.238</b>	<b>0.241</b>	<b>0.250</b>	<b>0.282</b>	<b>0.277</b>

### **Days to bud initiation**

The days to bud initiation were influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The Days to bud initiation were found to be a minimum (43.31 days) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> NPK 0:0:0 gram per plant and a maximum (68.07 days) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> Control N:P: K (100:105:105)g/plant. The data is shown in Table 5

### **Days taken to flowering**

The days taken to flowering were influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The days taken to flowering were found to be a minimum (49.87 days) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> NPK 0:0:0gram per plant and a maximum (84.59 days) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> Control N:P: K (100:105:105)g/plant. The data is shown is Table 5

### **Number of flowers per plant**

The number of flowers per plant was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The Number of flowers per plant was found to be a maximum (15.83) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and a minimum (7.04) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 5

### **Flower diameter (cm)**

The flower diameter was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The flower diameter (cm) was found to be maximum (13.06) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and minimum (10.13) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 5

### **Flower weight (g)**

The flower weight was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The flower weight (g) was found to be a maximum (3.82) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and a minimum (2.07) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 5

### **Number of flowers per ha**

The number of flowers per ha. was influenced by different levels of NPK at 30,60,90,120,150 DAP. The number of flowers per ha was found to be maximum (39575) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant minimum (17591.67) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 5

**Table 5 Days to bud initiation, Days taken to flowering, Number of flowers per plant, Flower diameter (cm), Flower weight (g), Number of flowers per ha**

Treatments No.	Treatments details	Days to bud initiation	Days taken to flowering	Number of flowers per plant	Flower diameter (cm)	Flower weight (g)	Number of flowers per ha
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	68.07	84.59	7.04	10.13	2.07	17591.67
T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant	52.16	60.61	12.52	11.47	2.62	31308.33
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant	50.17	59.10	11.48	11.16	2.57	28700
T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant	46.03	53.55	14.54	12.74	3.70	36358.33
T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant	43.31	49.87	15.83	13.06	3.82	39575
T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant	48.42	57.05	14.07	12.91	3.61	35166.67
T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant	48.18	57.33	13.57	12.61	3.31	33925
T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant	54.81	65.33	12.78	12.37	3.31	31950
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant	54.72	63.87	12.44	11.52	3.54	31108.33
T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant	52.77	62.29	13.06	11.98	2.80	32641.67
T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant	58.47	67.69	13.24	12.08	2.63	33108.33
T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant	62.28	71.64	14.44	12.07	2.44	36108.33
	<b>F-Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
	<b>S.Ed. (+)</b>	<b>1.918</b>	<b>1.925</b>	<b>0.515</b>	<b>0.186</b>	<b>0.122</b>	<b>1286.81</b>
	<b>C.D. at 0.5%</b>	<b>3.978</b>	<b>3.99</b>	<b>1.067</b>	<b>0.386</b>	<b>0.253</b>	<b>2668.698</b>

**Benefit-cost ratio** for cultivation

The **Benefit-cost** ratio was found to be maximum (1: 1.46) in the treatment T<sub>4</sub> NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant and minimum (1:0.21) in the treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control N:P: K 0:0:0 g/plant. The data is shown in Table 6

**Table 6 Economics of different treatments and benefit-cost ratio for cultivation of *Hibiscus rosa sinensis***

Treatment No.	Treatment	Cost of cultivation Rs. /ha	Flower yield q /ha	Sale Rate Rs/q	Gross Return Rs. /ha	Net Return Rs./ ha	Benefit Cost Ratio
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	116685	17591.67	8	140733.36	24048.36	0.21
T <sub>1</sub>	NPK (25:30:30) gram per plant	119978.48	31308.33	8	250466.64	130488.16	1.09
T <sub>2</sub>	NPK (50:55:55) gram per plant	122813.62	28700	8	229600.00	106786.38	0.87
T <sub>3</sub>	NPK (75:80:80) gram per plant	125648.77	36358.33	8	290866.64	165217.87	1.31
T <sub>4</sub>	NPK (100:105:105) gram per plant	128483.91	39575	8	316600.00	188116.09	1.46
T <sub>5</sub>	NPK (125:130:130) gram per plant	131319.06	35166.67	8	281333.36	150014.30	1.14
T <sub>6</sub>	NPK (150:155:155) gram per plant	134154.20	33925	8	271400.00	137245.80	1.02
T <sub>7</sub>	NPK (175:180:180) gram per plant	136989.35	31950	8	255600.00	118610.65	0.87
T <sub>8</sub>	NPK (200:205:205) gram per plant	139824.49	31108.33	8	248866.64	109042.15	0.78
T <sub>9</sub>	NPK (225:230:230) gram per plant	142659.64	32641.67	8	261133.36	118473.72	0.83
T <sub>10</sub>	NPK (250:255:255) gram per plant	145494.78	33108.33	8	264866.64	119371.86	0.82
T <sub>11</sub>	NPK (275:280:280) gram per plant	148329.93	36108.33	8	288866.64	140536.71	0.95

## Conclusion

Based on a present investigation, it is concluded that treatment T<sub>4</sub> which is the application of NPK (100:105:105) was found to be the best in terms of growth parameter and yield Parameter of Hibiscus and Cost benefit ratio is 1: 1.46.

## References

- Anuburani A, Kavita R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield in Hibiscus (*Hibiscus rosa sinensis*), S. Indian Hort. 2006; 5:96-97.
- Anuburani, A., Arumugam, Shakila and Gayathiri, M. (2008). Effect of organic in manures in combination with fertilizers on yield in Gundumalli (*Jasminum sambac* Ait.) Asian J. Hort., 3 (2) : 419-421.
- Aslam M. Khan and Iftikhar Ahmad (Growth and Flowering of *Gladiolus hortulanus* L. cv. Wind Song as Influenced by Various Levels of NPK. International Journal Of Agriculture & Biology 1560–8530/2004/06–6–1037–1039
- Bajpai, R. K., S. Chitale, S. K. Upadhyay and J. S. Urkurkar. 2006. Long- term studies on soil physio-chemical properties and productivity of rice wheat system as influenced by integrated nutrient management in inceptisol of Chhattisgarh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 54. 24-29.
- Banik, S. and Dey, B.K., 1982, Available phosphate content of an alluvial soil as by inoculation of some isolated phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. Pl. Soil, 69: 363.
- Barker, A.V., 1975, Organic versus inorganic nutrition of horticultural crops and quality. Hort. Sci., 10: 73-75. Bhattacharjee, S.K., 1980, Native hibiscus of India. Indian Perfumes., 24(3): 126-133.
- Chamakumari, Naresh, Saravanan, S. and Ravi, J. (2017). Effect of NPK and organic manures on plant growth, flower yield and flower quality parameters of hibiscus

(*Jasminum sambac*) var. Double mogra. Agric. Update, 12 (TECHSEAR-2) : 524-529

Chesnin, L. and C. H. Yien. 1951. Turbidimetric determination of available Sulphates. Soil Sci Soc. America proceedings. 15:149-151.

Choudhury Sushree, Nath Jatindra Das, Behera Prabhasini (2019). Effects of Time and Level of Pruning on the Growth and Flowering of *Jasminum sambac* (L.) Cv. Gundumalli. Int.J. Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2019) 8(8): 2455-2463

Dalve P.D., Mane S.V. and Nimbalkar R.R. (2009). Effect of biofertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of gladiolus. The Asian Journal of Horticulture, (June to November, 2009) Vol. 4 No. 1 : 227-229

Deepika Sahu, Prasad V. M. and Fatmi Urfi (2021). Effect of NPK on Organic Manures of Flowering and Flower Yield of Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* L.) cv. Kenora Sunburst. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2021) 10(03): 643-650

Krushnaiah R., Hanuman M. Nayak, Prasanth P. and Saidanaik D. (2018). Studies on the Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth, Flowering and Yield of Italian Aster (*Aster amellus* L.) cv. 'Purple Multipetal'. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci (2018) 7(10): 936-946

Mundhe G.B., Kadari I.A., Maske and Jature S.D. (2018). Effect of Different Levels of Pruning on Flowering and Yield of Mogra (*Jasminum sambac* L.) Cv. Bela. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App.Sci (2018) Special Issue-6: 2094-2099

Nandhini C, Balasubramanian P, Beulah A and Amutha R (2018). Effect of physical and chemical interventions on flowering and quality parameters of hibiscus (*Jasminum sambac* Ait.) cv. Ramanathapuram Gundumalli during off season. International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018; 6(4): 1653-1657

Rohit Diwivedi, S Saravanan, Mohd Shabi and Saurabh Kasera (2018). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth and flower yield of hibiscus (*Jasminum grandiflorum* L.). The Pharma Innovation Journal 2018; 7(6): 683-686

Sha. K, Ajish Muraleedharan and Gnanasekaran Seerangan (2019). Effect of organic and inorganic inputs on growth and yield of hibiscus (*hibiscus rosa sinensis*.l). Mullai. Plant Archives Vol. 19, Supplement 1, 2019 pp. 1223-1225

Singh Kulveer Yadav, AK Pal, Anil K Singh, Deependra Yadav and Sandeep K Mauriya (2018). Effect of different bio-fertilizers on growth and flowering of marigold. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2018; 7(1): 1548-1550

Zamin, M., A. Khan, I. Jan, F. Rabbi, S. Shah, R. Ali, K. Ullah and M. Amin. 2020. Effect of nitrogen and potash on the yield and quality of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L) flower. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, 36(2): 397-401.

UNDER PEER REVIEW