

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_108221
Title of the Manuscript:	Indigenous Antidiabetic Medicinal Plants used in Nigeria: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, scientifically important</p> <p>Yes, title is suitable</p> <p>No, abstract is not comprehensive</p> <p>Results and discussion are missing. Structure needs to be improve</p> <p>Sure, manuscript is sound scientifically</p> <p>Some of the references are old</p> <p>Are the plants native or exotic species recorded among the antidiabetic species in Nigeria? Can you do a table with columns for local, scientific names, family, Active constituents, references? How many genera, classes, orders, families and species were recorded in Nigeria How many species are in each family? What measure of plant parts used to treat ailment? Some kinds of tables and chart may further clarify this review Where are the results and discussions of this review?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good for scientific communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is a good review; it just needs restructuring to improve on it. Abstract needs rebrand Result is not explicit Discussion is lacking Conclusion needs a redo</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jonathan Johnny
Department, University & Country	Njala University, Sierra Leone