

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_109449
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF AQUEOUS AND HYDRO-ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF FICUS CAPENSIS, NEWBOULDIA LAEVIS AND CARPOLOBIA LUTEA.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Although the study performed by authors is in a preliminary stage, their study results are promising and could be carry forward for further investigations. Following are my suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add more references to establish the traditional use of the studied medicinal plants if available. 2. Justify the combinational use of the studied medicinal plants. Add references if available. 3. Justify the ratio of medicinal plants used in the study. Add any references if available to increase the relevance of study. <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The manuscript looks scientifically correct to me. Still, I have some questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regarding preparation of crude extracts: Please add how the authors prepared different concentrations used in DPPH test. <p>Please add the references mentioned above if available.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bibin Baby Augustine
Department, University & Country	USA