

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_108363
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Technology Acceptance Model in Examining Students' Behavioural Intention to Use Virtual Meeting Technology: A Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling Approach.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Despite the numerous advantages of virtual meeting technology, many higher institutions in Nigeria have not embraced the technology even during COVID-19 pandemic due to poor internet connectivity, poor facilities, negative attitudes (non-acceptance) of both teachers and students. This research proves that all the constructs are significant with scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of this research suitable.</p> <p>3. Please remove all references in abstract.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate, but researchers must change some parts:</p> <p>i. In Introduction, please separate the problem statement and literature review.</p> <p>ii. In methodology section: Based on Krejcie and Morgan table (1970), minimum sample size must be 377 respondents if the population 20,000. However, this is just a research article. My suggestion, please use GPower Calculation to get how many sample size.</p> <p>iii. Move the conceptual framework to Introduction section, not in methodology.</p> <p>iv. Conclusion not strong. Please conclude tidily.</p> <p>5. Overall, this research article is good, but some sections need improvement.</p> <p>6. Overall, good.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language need improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NO</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Asma Mazlan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia