

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_108363
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Technology Acceptance Model in Examining Students' Behavioural Intention to Use Virtual Meeting Technology: A Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling Approach.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1-This study is about investigating the validity of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) in determining the relationship between perceived ease of use (PEOU), perceived usefulness (PU), attitude (AT), behavioral intention (BI), and actual use (AU) of virtual meeting technology. The study was conducted among students at Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria. Therefore, this manuscript holds importance for the scientific community.</p> <p>2- The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3- The presented article abstract is acceptable, although it lacks specific figures of merit regarding the performance of the studied model.</p> <p>4- The structure and subsections of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5- Based on the reported results in the article, the accuracy can be confirmed.</p> <p>6- The provided references are relatively sufficient and up-to-date.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the language/English of the article is relatively suitable for scientific communication. Although editing it is also recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In the text of the article about the PLS algorithm and how to choose hidden variables and evaluate the model, it would be helpful to provide explanations in the form of subsections. This approach will make the information more organized and easier for readers to navigate.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Reza Khanmohammadi Khorrami
Department, University & Country	Imam Khomeini International University, Iran