

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109564
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTICIPATION OF WOMEN IN MEDICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM IN INDIA
Type of the Article	Data Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>The title is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is good but needs editing, the Introduction does not make a strong case on the need to conduct the research, the gaps in research in the area of study is not well articulated and lack proper referencing.</p> <p>Literature review: this is inadequate and does not present adequate statistics and referencing of existing study in the area and why and how the study addresses the gaps in literature. The subsections are adequate, but some content within sections seem displaced, for example the section on delimitations does not really state what the delimitations of the study are</p> <p>I believe the manuscript is not scientifically sound, the depth of data analysis is weak and in most cases repetitive.</p> <p>The references are insufficient, the researcher needs to do more search for existing literature.</p> <p>The manuscript has many grammar errors and needs to be edited for grammar. Date analysis and presentation needs to be improved or changed to make a better reading. The statement of problem is insufficient. The rationale of the study should be better presented. The methodology is too weak</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language is not suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helen Kezie-Nwoha
Department, University & Country	Women's International Peace Centre, Uganda