

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109562
Title of the Manuscript:	PROMOTING INCLUSIVE ACCOUNTING EDUCATION THROUGH THE INTEGRATION OF STEM PRINCIPLES FOR A DIVERSE CLASSROOM
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is not clear how important this manuscript is to the scientific community</p> <p>THE IMPORTANCE OF INCLUSIVE ACCOUNTING EDUCATION THROUGH INTEGRATION OF PRINCIPLES STEM FOR A DIVERSE CLASS</p> <p>The written abstract is far from the truth, needs to be corrected again</p> <p>The writing sub-subs are far from perfect in general terms, Introduction, Theoretical Study, Research Methodology, Discussion and Results and Conclusions</p> <p>The manuscript is not scientifically correct, and the theory, discussion and content are shallow</p> <hr/> <p>In my opinion, this manuscript is not suitable for publication, it should be rejected</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	In my opinion, this manuscript is not suitable for publication, it should be rejected	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nasfi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>