

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_110149
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDE EXTENT OF FARMERS TOWARDS FPOs IN EASTERN UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. 1. It is important because it will be useful to know the attitude of farmers towards the organization they founded. An organization (including FPO) is founded to achieve a common goal. Organizational goals can only be achieved if all its members loyally carry out their respective duties and functions. The attitude shown by farmers towards FPO can measure the extent to which they care and behave towards the organization.</p> <p>2. It is not appropriate. My suggestion is the title: Analysis of farmers' attitudes towards FPOs in eastern Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>3. Not complete, but the abstract editorial needs to be corrected (for example, the name Uttar should be capitalized). It should be explained that FPO is an abbreviation of Farmer Producer Organization. It's not enough to just have an acronym. Apart from that, keywords should not be words that are already in the title of the manuscript.</p> <p>4. 4. It is appropriate</p> <p>5. True, but the research variable is too simple (only one, namely attitude). It needs to be developed to the behavioural (psychomotor) level.</p> <p>6. There are too few references, and the majority (70%) are not current. Preferably, the last five years, except for books and extension theory. Many of the latest agricultural extension articles are available in Scopus journals. The research methodology section also does not include sources or descriptions of the use of data analysis methods.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Reasonable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ridar Hendri
Department, University & Country	Universitas Riau, Indonesia