

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_109443   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Determinants of participation in contract farming among smallholders' sorghum farmers in Bondo sub-county, Kenya |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>Yes, manuscript is very important in terms of relevance for scientific community and policy maker regarding agriculture in the Kenya and similar other African countries. Agriculture is most important sector in Kenya so need to be analyse by more and more researcher.</p> <p>Title is suitable however it can be improved in terms of little precise form.</p> <p>Yes, Abstract is very well written and fully elaborated.</p> <p>Yes, Mostly points covered in Sub Sections of manuscripts.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes there are plenty of references so no need of additional references in the manuscript.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Its overall good however need to be proofreading by author as i found some line spacing issue and minor grammar issue which is need to addressed. Table is also not fully formatted.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>In the introduction, Manuscript is fail to give detail insights of socio-economic factor of study area. The manuscript is seems good and vivid in terms of topic raised the researcher. However researcher fail to produce any evidence of literature review in support of his topic. He should give some insight of literature reviews and their short coming in support of relevance of this research.</p> <p>In the regard of methodology in the manuscript researcher not able to give any concrete reason why he studied only Bondo-Sub Country in Kenya and why not other part of Kenya.</p> <p>Results section is good<br/>Conclusions section should be more elaborated for appropriate use of further research and policy maker of the Kenya.</p> <p>Overall This manuscript is good for publication. Only minor changes if possible by researchers like literature reviews, grammar, line and spacing etc.</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Anayatullah Nayaji</b>                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ch. B. P. Government College, India</b> |