

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109741
Title of the Manuscript:	A cross-national comparison of curricula in China and the US in terms of TEAM education: The case of one-dimensional equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important to scientific communities as it show if there is any convergence or divergence of knowledge content in Chinese PEP textbooks and U.S. GMH textbooks? It also shows the impact on the effectiveness of the Chinese PEP textbooks and U.S. GMH textbooks on mathematics education?</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive but need to be improve to capture the contribution and findings of the research.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficiently enough. More recent references are needed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language quality of the manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The scholars put in their best to review and explain the subject matter. The manuscript is worthy to be publish after effecting the stated corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samuel Adamu
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Army University, Nigeria