

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109783
Title of the Manuscript:	Per se assessment of ginger genotypes under coconut ecosystem for qualitative traits
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. the per se assessment of ginger genotypes under a coconut ecosystem for qualitative traits holds significance for advancing scientific understanding, improving agricultural practices, and contributing to the overall well-being of farmers and the agricultural industry. Sharing this research data with the scientific community ensures that the collective knowledge base continues to expand, benefiting both researchers and farmers in the field.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Abstract should be rewritten. It should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction or Background: Briefly introduce the topic and the context of your research. State the research question or problem you are addressing. • Methods: Outline the research design, methodology, and techniques used in your study. • Results: Summarize the key findings or outcomes of your research. Highlight the most important data or statistical results. • Conclusion: State the main conclusions drawn from your research. Discuss the significance of your findings and any implications for future research or applications. <p>4. Incomplete</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct but is only suitable for short communication not full length paper.</p> <p>The references are very old. Include latest references. Not as per the guidelines of the journal.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Not at all suitable. Very casually written paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Environmental Considerations for Assessing ginger genotypes under a coconut ecosystem may provide insights into the environmental impact of cultivation practices</p> <p>2. Adaptation to Specific Ecosystems: Understanding how ginger genotypes perform under coconut ecosystems provides valuable insights into their adaptability to specific environmental conditions. This information aids in selecting genotypes that can thrive in coconut-rich environments, contributing to sustainable and efficient agricultural practices.</p> <p>Crop Diversity and Genetic improvement</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reena Nair
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidhyalaya, India