

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110055
Title of the Manuscript:	"Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizer on yield attributes of coriander ( <i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) cv. RCR-41"
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the research topic is scientifically and practically appropriate, in that the current plant is one of the most important vegetables, and it also has many medicinal uses.</p> <p>Yes, but it could be modified to (Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizer treatments on yield and it's attributes of coriander (<i>Coriandrum sativum</i> L.) cv. RCR-41</p> <p>Quite</p> <p>Quite, it will be more convenient after following the tips and fixes in the research</p> <p>The results section needs to be reconstructed based on the clarifications in the research</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The materials and methods, and results sections need to be reformulated and further clarified. In materials and methods, he need to explain the method of applying your treatments, the concentrations of biofertilizers are missed, the statistical analysis is missed, the procedure of field experiment is missed.</p> <p>In results section, the main effects of the factors must be understood and differentiated from the interaction effects, and the tables must be rephrased and commented on again.</p> <p>There is confusion between the main effects of the factors and the interaction effects between the factors.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohamed Taha Zalama</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Field Crops Research Institute, A. R. C., Egypt</b>