

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108547
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMICS OF CABBAGE PRODUCTION IN VARANASI REGION OF UTTAR PRADESH UNDER DIFFERENT LEVELS OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The study is interesting and represents a contribution to the area of knowledge. The title reflects the objective of the study. The article is structured correctly. A relationship is observed between the objective of the study, the methodology, the results and the conclusion.</p> <p>There are two important observations. First, it is noted that the study was carried out between 2016 and 2017, which implies that six years passed. Generally, works that have an execution date greater than five are not accepted, as long as they have not been published in other media. However, I do not know if this rule applies to this publication.</p> <p>Second, the references consulted are not sufficient or recent. There are only seven. Of which four refer to studies carried out between 2000 and 2013, two are theoretical references and one is a reference for an organization.</p> <p>I suggest that the comments be considered before approving the publication. that must be reviewed and corrected</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes, it is	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Otaiza Cupare
Department, University & Country	Centro de Estudios Regionales, Universidad Católica Andrés Bello, Venezuela