

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_108286
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality of drinking water points in Ntui (Centre Region, Cameroon) based on the abundance dynamics of bacteria of the genus Aeromonas
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes. Studies that concern drinking water quality and public health are very important. 2. No. it does not reflect the content of the manuscript. I suggest this title: (Physiochemical and Bacteriological Assessment of Surface and Ground water Quality for Drinking in Ntui (Centre Region, Cameroon)). 3. Yes, it is. 4. No. they should be rearranged. I'll provide details in additional comments. 5. Yes, it is. 6. Yes they are. But, in material and methods references should be added only if you used a method based on a previous study. Equipments used and tests conducted, its what you actually used and did. It's your own work. It does not need a reference. Please, revise the whole manuscript (there are place that should not include a reference). <p>Additional comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Material and methods subsections: A) Sampling: should include also the collection of samples as a paragraph, there is no need in making an additional subsection. B) a subsection for equipment should be added including table 2 (table 2 should be mentioned in the text first) and it should be what you actually used in the study, so why adding a reference? C) there is no need for the subsection (Choice of germs) because you already mentioned that in the introduction. 2. Results and discussion: A) discussion should be accompanied with showing the results for each test. There is no need for separating them. It confuses the reader. B) the subsections need to be rearranged. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, it is. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rearrange the subsection. 2. Check the references included in the text. Some places does not need a reference. 3. A recommendations subsection should be added recommending the reader. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahad Abdulkareem Raheem
Department, University & Country	Al-Qasim Green University, College of Engineering, Iraq