

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_108962
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SEED PRIMING TREATMENTS ON SEED GERMINATION AND SEEDLING GROWTH OF BITTER GOURD (<i>Momordica charantia</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community; this is because it has provided a method that can be deployed or used to enhance seed germinability by breaking seed dormancy</p> <p>2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract is good enough save for the experimental design that requires to be properly stated. In regard to treatments should have used more than one seed type. The starting sentence of the abstract needs to be paraphrased.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct in that the choice of treatments, experimental design, and data collection method, data recorded, and data analysis were carefully made and appropriate to the study</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The spelling errors need to be addressed and simple paraphrasing of some sentences but as a whole it is fit for publication provided the above are addressed.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The information in the manuscript is valuable for use by farmers if the technology is commercialized	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anguria Paul
Department, University & Country	National Agricultural Research Organization, Uganda