

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_109568
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPRESSION OF CD123 IN B-ACUTE LYMPHOBLASTIC LEUKEMIA AS A PREDICTOR OF BCR/ABL REARRANGEMENT AND DISEASE RELAPSE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This is definitely an interesting topic and is interesting to see the role of CD123 in prediction of BCR-ABL positivity and MRD status. It is interesting to see if BCR-ABL can truly be predicted by CD123 or not. Since Ph+ ALL tends to be higher risk, it would be a good biomarker. However in this article, I think the numbers are few to make a definitive conclusion.</p> <p>2. Title is acceptable</p> <p>3. Abstract is well written.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. I have no issues with the scientific aspect of the manuscript.</p> <p>6. The references seem to be sufficient in my opinion</p> <p>My question for the authors are why the parameters in Table 1 for the hematological abnormalities are non-standard. Why did the authors use a hemoglobin cutoff of 8.9, WBC of 7.295, Platelets of 34,000. Usually a hgb cutoff can be either 10gm/dL or 8.0gm/dl and WBC of 4.0 and platelets 50,000 or 20,000. These seem to be random numbers picked. The authors should use standard CBC cutoff parameters.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Please look at the grammar and the English in this manuscript especially in the introduction section</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Although the authors say in their article that there is a possibility of predicting MRD, in their research I do not think it is possible to make that conclusion. I think the numbers are small to make a definitive conclusion; however it is an interesting association.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parikshit Padhi
Department, University & Country	University at Buffalo, USA