

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AFSJ_108975</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of the Nutritive Value of Ceylon Almond (<i>Terminalia catappa</i>) and Butterfly Pea (<i>Clitoria ternatea</i>) Seeds from Sabaragamuwa Region of Sri Lanka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the utilization of under-utilized plants as a food could be interesting</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>May be re-written as per the journal style</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Manuscript is not really giving new insights into the subject</p> <p>Some recent references needs to be incorporated</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The study reconfirms the results of previously published reports, and is not really giving new insights into the subject. Only chemical analyses of seeds are provided that is the only small fraction. Statistical analyses must be provided if analysis is done in triplicate to compare the seeds of both plants.</p> <p>Some corrections are made on the body of the manuscript that needs to be incorporated in revised article. The utilization of underutilized plants as a food could be interesting. I would recommend rewriting this whole article and building it a bit more a robust and technically sound. Article may be accepted after thorough revision</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun Kumar Misra
Department, University & Country	ICAR-National Dairy Research Institute, India